Review

Pathologic bone alterations in celiac disease: Etiology, epidemiology, and treatment

Urszula Krupa-Kozak Ph.D. *

Institute of Animal Reproduction and Food Research of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Department of Chemistry and Biodynamics of Food, Olsztyn, Poland

ABSTRACT

Low bone mineral density (BMD), osteopenia, and osteoporosis are frequent complications of celiac disease (CD). The etiology of pathologic bone alterations in CD is multifactorial; however, two main mechanisms are involved: intestinal malabsorption and chronic inflammation. A strict gluten-free diet (GFD) is thought to be the only effective treatment for CD; but treating bone complications related to CD remains complex.

The objective of this review is to elucidate the bones problems related to CD and to increase awareness of osteoporosis development, considered as a sign of atypical CD presentation. Currently, a question of whether GFD alone is an effective treatment to correct the bone alterations in patients with CD is under debate. This review presents factors contributing to pathologic bone derangement, recent research on the epidemiology of low BMD, osteoporosis, and fractures, and the treatment of bone problems in patients with CD. The roles of calcium and transport mechanisms are additionally presented.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc.

Introduction

The clinical picture of celiac disease (CD) is highly variable, which complicates accurate diagnosis. In CD, the autoimmune response is mainly targeted at the intestinal mucosa; however, it can manifest itself with a variety of signs and symptoms affecting any organ or tissue. Extra-intestinal symptoms, such as low bone mineral density (BMD), reduced bone mass, and increased bone fragility, leading to an increased prevalence of fractures must be considered as a sign of atypical CD presentation. These bone alternations are the consequence of impaired calcium and vitamin D absorption and secondary hyperparathyroidism resulting principally from the loss of villous cells in the proximal intestine, where calcium is most actively absorbed. Several studies evaluated bone status in CD, both at diagnosis and after gluten-free diet (GFD). Nevertheless, studies focusing on the prevalence of bone derangement in patients with CD are still inconclusive because both old and recent findings are widely incongruous [1].

At present, the only effective treatment for CD is a strict lifelong GFD. However, it is still unknown whether GFD alone is sufficient to correct the bone alterations and whether these metabolic bone diseases are reversible. Exploring the literature concerning the effects of GFD on bone alteration in CD reveals contradictory results. On one side, there are studies that suggest that the risk of low BMD in patients with CD on a GFD is considerably diminished [2,3]. Alternatively, the results of other studies [4] showed that patients with persistent small-intestinal mucosal villous atrophy, despite adherence to a strict GFD and the absence of symptoms, had a high risk for osteoporosis. Undoubtedly, patients with CD and additional bone metabolism alterations and bone mineral loss require appropriate management. Early treatment might in part prevent complications of CD, such as malignancies [5], osteoporosis [6], and autoimmune diseases in general [7]. Considering that most bone mass is acquired during the first 2 decades of life, early diagnosis of CD and adherence to a GFD are fundamentally important to ensure adequate bone metabolism in such cases. Recent clinical trials for some new treatment modalities for CD are still ongoing; however, these therapies are aimed at reduction of the need for a strict GFD by the alteration of dietary food products, decrease of gluten exposure by rapid enzymatic degradation, inhibition of

small intestinal permeability, or modulation of the immune response [8]. Currently, the human studies concerning the most effective treatment for calcium deficiency and bone problems in patients with CD are still lacking.

Celiac disease: Pathogenesis, prevalence, and mode of presentation

CD is a lifelong intestinal disorder that occurs in genetically predisposed individuals. The disease is characterized by an immune reaction to the gliadin fraction of gluten, a protein component found in wheat, rye, and barley. The tendency of CD to run in families is well recognized. This multigene disorder is strongly associated with the human leukocyte antigen (*HLA*) genes. Approximately 90% to 95% of patients with CD inherit alleles encoding HLA-DQ2, whereas most of the remaining patients have HLA-DQ8 [9,10]. The expression of HLA-DQ2 or HLA-DQ8 is a necessary but not sufficient factor in CD pathogenesis. Studies of identical twins have shown that one twin did not develop CD in 25% of the cases studied [11], supporting the role played by environmental factors in the etiology of this disorder. Breastfeeding and the timing of gluten ingestion commencement [12], infections [13], some drugs [14], and smoking [15] might contribute to disease occurrence.

Until recently, CD was thought to occur rarely in childhood; however, current screening studies have demonstrated a much higher prevalence of CD than previously thought. The occurrence of biopsy-proven CD in Finnish and Italian schoolchildren was reported to be 1:99 and 1:106, respectively [16,17]. Similar rates of prevalence have been reported in adult populations in the United Kingdom (1:87) and the United States (1:105) [18,19]. Such a rate establishes CD as one of the most common diseases, affecting approximately 1% of the world's population at any age, although it is less common in most non-Caucasians and thought to be rare in central Africa and East Asia. Recently, more cases are being diagnosed as a consequence of widespread serological testing and increased awareness, still most people with CD remain undiagnosed as subclinical or atypical presentations are more frequently encountered [20–22]. Adult presentations of CD are more common than pediatric presentations, females predominate over males, and newly diagnosed CD occurs in young adults and patients older than age 60 y.

CD primarily affects the mucosa of the proximal small intestine with damage gradually decreasing in severity toward the distal small intestine, although in severe cases the lesion extends to the ileum and colon [23]. The clinical presentation of CD varies widely and depends on the patient's age, duration and extent of disease, and presence of extra-intestinal manifestations [24]. Patients can be asymptomatic to severely symptomatic. One study [25] defined major CD to be those patients complaining of frank malabsorption symptoms often associated with concomitant autoimmune diseases symptoms. In children, and similarly in adolescents and adults, in addition to diarrhea, abdominal distension, vomiting, constipation, weight loss, weakness, short stature, flatus, muscle wasting and hypotonia, general irritability and unhappiness are observed [26,27]. Hypocalcemia, low vitamin D levels, low bone formation, enhanced bone resorption markers, and low BMD are frequently found among children and adolescents with untreated CD. In minor CD, on the other hand, gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms are absent or not prominent. Instead, patients might report unrelated symptoms such as dyspepsia, abdominal discomfort and bloating, mild or occasional altered bowel habits without malabsorption mimicking irritable bowel syndrome, unexplained anemia, isolated fatigue, cryptic

hypertransaminasemia, infertility, peripheral and central neurologic disorders, osteoporosis, short stature, dental enamel defects, or dermatitis herpetiformis [28]. The silent form of CD is marked by small intestinal mucosal abnormalities and in most cases by positive serology, but it is apparently asymptomatic [29]. Most of these individuals are relatives of patients with known CD or members of the general population found to be positive for antiendomysial antibodies or hTTG antibodies [25]. Screening studies of first-degree relatives of patients with CD and other risk groups (e.g., patients with various autoimmune diseases) have demonstrated that serious intestinal damage may be present without any symptoms [30]. Autoimmune and immune-mediated diseases often are reported in association with CD, such as type 1 diabetes mellitus, autoimmune thyroiditis, or morphea [31]. Moreover, patients with Down, Turner, or Williams syndromes also are at increased risk for development of CD [32].

Serological tests used as an initial non-invasive screen for detecting CD include sensitive and specific serological markers, such as anti-endomysial and anti-transglutaminase antibodies [33]. Although positive test results can be supportive for a diagnosis, upper GI tract biopsy is required because a definitive diagnosis can be made only by the histologic demonstration of compatible intestinal mucosal lesions [34]. The diagnosis depends on the finding of characteristic changes including intraepithelial lymphocytosis, crypt hyperplasia, and various degrees of reduced villous height together with symptomatic and histologic improvement when gluten is withdrawn [35]. The pathology of the disease can range from infiltrative lesions characterized by increased intraepithelial lymphocytes with normal architecture to completely flat mucosa [36].

The keystone of CD management is the exclusion of gluten from the diet [37]. It is generally accepted that in a GFD, wheat, barley, and rye must be avoided as their prolamines (gliadin, hordein, and secalin) are the major triggering factors of CD. Clinical improvement is usually evident in the first few weeks after gluten withdrawal, but it can take up to 2 y for complete histologic resolution of the enteropathy [38]. However, some patients show a lack of response to a GFD. Non-responsive celiac disease (NRCD) is a clinical diagnosis defined by the persistence of signs, symptoms, and/or laboratory abnormalities typical of active CD despite treatment with a GFD for at least 6 mo [39]. Among NRCD, the most serious is refractory celiac disease (RCD), which can be complicated by significant morbidity and mortality and carries a poor prognosis due to severe malabsorption, malnutrition, and development of ulcerative jejunitis or enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma [40]. The key information concerning CD is summarized in Table 1.

Calcium: A "common denominator" of bone and intestine

Calcium is an essential ion necessary to maintain the proper functionality of the circulatory and neuromuscular systems; it is a cofactor for several hormones and enzymes and influences the immunologic system. In bones and teeth, it plays a structure function and provides mechanical strength. Additionally, calcium is a physiologic link between bone and intestine. Bones, being calcium salt reservoirs, have a metabolic function because calcium is continuously exchanged between bone and blood and can be released from bone to maintain extracellular calcium concentrations, regardless of intake. Wherefore, intestinal calcium absorption is essential to ensure that appropriate concentrations of intra- and extracellular calcium fluids are effectively maintained without bone depletion [41,42].

Table 1
Essential characteristic of celiac disease

	Coeliac disease
Prevalence	General populations 0.5%–1.26%; Children 0.31%–0.9%
Female:male ratio	Between 2:1 and 3:1
Trigger	Gluten (gliadins and glutenins, hordeins, secalins)
Causation	Genetic predisposition (HLA/Non-HLA genes); Environmental factors (infant-feeding practice, infections, drugs, socioeconomic factors)
Diagnosis	Positive histologic testing (hyperplastic villous atrophy); Positive serologic testing (EMA, TGA antibodies); Clinical remission on a strict GFD
Clinical presentation	Silent–asymptomatic, positive EMA or TGA antibodies; Minor–unrelated symptoms or isolated symptoms of autoimmune diseases; positive EMA or TGA antibodies; Major–frank malabsorption symptoms;
Complications	RCD types I and II EATL
Associated disease	Type 1 diabetes mellitus; autoimmune thyroiditis; autoimmune myocarditis; Sjögren's syndrome; autoimmune hepatitis; primary biliary cirrhosis; selective IgA deficiency; Addison's disease; Down syndrome; Alopecia areata; Sarcoidosis; neurologic abnormalities; asthma and atopy; IBD; systemic and cutaneous vasculitis; psoriasis; inflammatory arthritis; vitiligo
Treatment	GFD; supplementation of identified deficiencies

EATL, enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma; EMA, endomysium; GFD, gluten-free diet; IBD, Inflammatory bowel disease; IgA, immunoglobulin A; RCD, refractory coeliac disease; TGA, tissue transglutaminase

Calcium absorption in the intestine, reabsorption from the kidney, and exchange from bones is tightly controlled. To provide calcium homeostasis, the coordinated actions of these three organs and proper hormonal regulation are critical. Calcium balance is regulated through the calciotropic hormones: parathyroid hormone (PTH), 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D ($1,25[OH]_2D_3$), and calcitonin. A previous study [42] reviewed the role of other hormones on calcium transport mechanisms. PTH and $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ exert complex coordinated activities to maintain normal serum calcium levels. As the extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration decreases, there is a rapid increase in PTH release that promotes bone turnover and cortical bone loss. Hyperparathyroidism is common in patients newly diagnosed with CD (27% in adults; 12%–54% in children) [43–46] and in those with RCD, but is less common in patients who respond to a GFD [47]. High levels of PTH increase the circulating levels of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ by stimulating the renal production of 1-α-hydroxylase, the enzyme responsible for the conversion of 25-hydroxy vitamin D ($25[OH]D$) to the final hormone $1,25(OH)_2D_3$. For this reason, increased $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ levels may be observed in CD [48]. Low BMD in adult CD patients are related to secondary hyperparathyroism and osteomalacia due to calcium and vitamin D malabsorption [49]. Vitamin D deficiency occurs in 64% of men and 71% of women with CD [50]. Dietary vitamin D is absorbed through the small intestine as a fat-soluble vitamin along with dietary fat and is incorporated into chylomicrons. The primary etiology of vitamin D deficiency in CD is malabsorption, however, an intestinal mucosal lesion is also a decisive issue of hypovitaminosis D [51].

Of all the endocrine factors involved in calcium transport, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ is probably the most important and seems to regulate most proteins that are involved in the overall transport process. Regulation of $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ through genomic actions involving the classical vitamin D receptor (VDR) is widely recognized [52], but its non-genomic regulation through a separate membrane receptor, which was identified as the $1,25D_3$-MARRS (membrane-associated, rapid response, steroid hormone-binding) receptor, is also now accepted [53]. VDR are normally expressed in the duodenal mucosa of patients with CD, notwithstanding mucosal damage and atrophy of the villi [54]. No difference in the frequency of VDR genotypes between patients with CD and controls has been found; therefore, low bone density seems unrelated to a specific VDR genetic pattern in patients with CD [55]. However, as normal intestinal mucosa is required for optimal absorption, in the areas of damaged mucosa defective enterocytes have reduced levels of calbindin and calcium-binding protein, the vitamin D-regulated proteins that actively take up calcium from the intestinal lumen [56]. Therefore, they cannot respond to $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, further potentiating calcium loss and secondary hyperparathyroidism.

Calcium in food occurs as salts or is associated with other dietary constituents in the form of complexes of calcium ions (Ca^{2+}). Before it can be absorbed, calcium must be released in a soluble and ionized form. Calcium absorption depends on its chemical form and factors affecting its solubility. Low pH, basic amino acids, lactose, organic acids, bile salts, and adequate calcium/phosphorus ratio increase calcium absorption, whereas higher pH, non-soluble dietary fiber, phytates, and oxalates greatly cause its reduction. Under physiological conditions, the majority of dietary calcium is absorbed in the small intestine, accounting for approximately 90% of overall calcium absorption [57]. Minor amounts of Ca^{2+} ions are absorbed from the stomach and large intestine; the colon accounts for less than 10% of the total Ca^{2+} absorbed. The major contributors to the amount of calcium absorbed are the residence time and the rate of absorption in the particular segments of the GI tract. The order of absorption rate is: duodenum > jejunum > ileum. Extracellular concentrations of unbound calcium are approximately 1 μM, whereas the intracellular free concentration under resting conditions is in the range of 0.1 μM, creating a steep gradient between intra- and extracellular concentrations [41]. Moreover, intracellular calcium can undergo large, rapid changes due to either influx through the cell membrane or release from intracellular stores, whereas extracellular calcium remains relatively constant under normal circumstances [57]. The maintenance of the extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration is of utmost importance for many vital functions of the body. Differences between intra- and extracellular calcium concentrations put severe constraints and demands on the plasma membrane transport mechanism to safeguard the integrity of the intracellular milieu.

In general, calcium transport is mediated by a complex array of transport processes that are regulated by hormonal, developmental, and physiological factors. Its movement across the intestinal epithelium is based on two mechanisms: passive (paracellular) and active (transcellular). The transcellular calcium transport is a three step metabolically energized process, consisting of the apical uptake via the transient receptor potential vanilloid family calcium channel 5 and 6 (TRPV5/6) and L-type calcium channel Cav1.3, cytoplasmic translocation in a calbindin-D9k-bound form, and the basolateral extrusion via the plasma membrane Ca^{2+}-ATPase1b (PMCA1b) and Na^+/Ca^{2+} exchanger 1 (NCX1) [57]. In contrast, the paracellular passive calcium transport is dependent on the transepithelial calcium gradient and is absent when both sides of the epithelium have equal calcium concentration [41]. Paracellular calcium movement is regulated by the tight junction, which contains several charge-selective proteins, particularly claudin-2, claudin-3, and claudin-12, arranged in the arrays of channel-like paracellular pores [58]. Additionally, the integrity of the tight junction is regulated by tight junction proteins, such as the zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) and occludins [59].

Intestinal Ca^{2+} absorption varies according to the age and physiological conditions of individuals. It is apparent that

paracellular calcium transport is predominant in the small intestine [41]. However, when calcium demand is markedly increased, such as during growth, pregnancy and lactation, high physical activity, and/or when dietary calcium supply is low, passive Ca^{2+} absorption alone would be insufficient to maintain optimal health status. Therefore, the contribution of transcellular transport becomes more significant. Calcitriol is one of the main regulators of active intestinal calcium absorption and increases the gene transcription of several calcium transporters, including TRPV6, Calbindin-D9k, Calbindin-D28k, and PMCA1b [60–62]. However, recent genetic studies question the critical role of TRPV6 and calbindin-D9k in calcitriol-mediated intestinal calcium absorption [63,64]. Indeed, duodenal calcium absorption is not impaired in Trpv6−/− mice and calbindin-D9k/Trpv6 double-null mice fed a normal calcium diet. Lieben et al. [65] indicated that TRPV6 is redundant for intestinal calcium absorption during normal calcium intake, and therefore is not necessary for normal bone volume, morphology, or remodeling when dietary calcium supply is sufficient. Nevertheless, authors demonstrated that TRPV6 does contribute to the energy-dependent pathway of intestinal calcium absorption and is essential to ensure adequate calcium absorption during dietary calcium deprivation, thereby avoiding excessive bone turnover and impaired bone mineralisation. The finding that TRPV6 is not essential for the maintenance of serum calcium levels during normal/high calcium intake indicates that other molecules contribute to active intestinal calcium absorption. These factors may subsequently compensate for the deficiency in TRPV6, providing an explanation for the modest effects of TRPV6 deletion on intestinal calcium absorption. Additionally, results of one study [66] suggested that 1,25(OH)$_2$D$_3$ stimulates intestinal calcium absorption via regulation of the paracellular pathway, at least in vitro.

Etiology of bone alterations in celiac disease

The relationship between bone derangements and CD was recognized many years ago [67,68]. Patients newly diagnosed or inadequately treated for CD often suffer from skeletal disorders, have low BMD, reduced bone mass, and consequently increased bone fragility leading to a high prevalence of bone fractures [69, 70]. The etiology of pathological bone alterations in CD is likely to be multifactorial; however, the emphasis should be on the portion of intestine most severely affected by this disease. CD causes inflammation of the mucosa of the small bowel, from the duodenum to the distal ileum; thus, intestinal absorption is frequently altered leading to malabsorption, which is observed in acute CD. Both malabsorption and chronic intestine inflammation negatively affect bone health. The conditions contributing to pathologic bone alterations in CD are presented in the Table 2.

Intestinal malabsorption

In CD, a subsequent immune-mediated enterocyte destruction with atrophic intestinal epithelium results in a decreased surface area for absorption leading to not only to several mineral, vitamin, and protein deficiencies (including albumin and immunoglobulins) but consequently to general malnutrition and a reduced body mass index (BMI). Calcium and vitamin D are generally absorbed throughout the small bowel, predominantly in the proximal portion. Calcium malabsorption is a combined result of steatorrhoea, alterations in calcium-transport mechanisms (i.e., reduced levels of vitamin D-dependent calcium-binding proteins), and lack of vitamin D [71]. Dietary vitamin D, absorbed as a fat-soluble vitamin, along with dietary fat, is

Table 2
Conditions contributing to pathological bone alterations in celiac disease

Factor	Mechanism of action
Hypocalcemia	Malabsorption of calcium:
	Vitamin D deficiency
	Intestine mucosa damage (reduction in the active absorption area)
	Alterations in calcium-transport mechanism (lost of calbindin and calcium-binding proteins)
	Inadequate calcium intake
	Reduced consumption of dairy products (lactose intolerance)
	Steatorrhea
Hypovitaminosis D	Malabsorption of vitamin D:
	Alterations in vitamin D metablism
	Decreased level of vitamin D-binding proteins
	Decreased intake of vitamin D
	Steatorrhea
Bowel inflammation	Chronic release of proinflammatory cytokines
Hormones	PTH (secondary hyperparathyroidism)
	Estrogens and androgens (modulation of RANK/RANKL/OPG system)
Corticosteriods	Reduction of intestinal calcium absorption
	Increase of renal calcium excretion
	Impairment of osteoblast function
	Alteration of osteoclast resorption cycle
Additional risk factors	Autoimmune alternations (autoimmune thyroiditis, dermatitis Herpetiformis, type 1 diabetes mellitus)
	Diagnosis in adult life
	Lapses from GFD
	Active disease
	Low BMI
	Lifestyle factors (lack of physical activity, smoking)

BMI, body mass index; GFD, gluten-free diet; PTH, parathyroid hormone

secreted with bile and reabsorbed in the intestine. Steatorrhoea may impair the reabsorption of 25(OH)D undergoing enterohepatic circulation, thereby contributing to the development of hypovitaminosis D, especially during acute exacerbations of CD. Augmented hypocalcemia and vitamin D insufficiency are associated with increased levels of serum PTH (secondary hyperparathyroidism), which accelerates bone turnover, bone loss, and increases fracture risk [72,73]. In patients with symptomatic CD, low BMD appears directly related to intestinal malabsorption of calcium, vitamin D, and other nutrients essential to bone health. However, low BMD is present even in atypical or asymptomatic CD patients at the time of diagnosis.

Inflammatory and immunologic alterations

Bone alterations were thought to result simple from intestinal malabsorption and steatorrhoea, but now a more complex interaction between cytokines and local/systemic factors influencing bone formation and reabsorption is envisaged. Osteotropic cytokines are involved in bone remodeling because they regulate the differentiation and activation of osteoblasts and osteoclasts. In inflammatory diseases, including CD, the chronic release of proinflammatory cytokines is well known. Interferon (IFN)-γ is the dominant cytokine in the damaged intestinal mucosa. A study [74] describing the involvement of proinflammatory cytokine network in pathogenesis of CD in children pointed out the abundance of IFN-γ in the intestinal mucosa; additionally, the study's researchers linked gluten intake with the production of interleukin (IL)-15, IL-18, and IL-21. Recently, another study [75] reviewed the involvement of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and IFN-γ in bone remodeling and suggested that their enhanced production and release during chronic inflammation is associated with increased bone loss. Higher levels

of serum cytokines that directly trigger osteoclasts (IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α) have been detected in individuals with untreated CD; at the same time low levels of cytokines that play an inhibitory role (IL-18 and IL-12) was observed [76,77]. High levels of serum IL-6 in active CD correlated inversely with lumbar BMD values [76].

Bone homeostasis is reached by a dynamic balance between bone-reabsorbing activity performed by receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) and the effects of its natural decoy receptor osteoprotegerin (OPG). OPG/RANKL ratio was significantly lower in patients with CD with recovery of intestinal mucosa than in healthy controls and that positively correlated with low BMD [78]. Results of one study [77] observed a significant negative correlation between the BMD Z score and RANKL/OPG ratio in CD patients. Recently, another study [79] detected auto-antibodies against OPG in a man with CD and severe osteoporosis and demonstrated the potential of the auto-antibodies to block the inhibitory effect of OPG on RANKL. Inversely, in another study [80], antibodies against OPG were not found in the serum of patients with CD. Therefore, the role and a range of auto-antibodies against OPG in the pathogenesis of bone derangement in patients with CD need to be clearly established and other mechanisms should be investigated.

In patients with asymptomatic CD, factors related to chronic intestinal inflammation (i.e., deficiency of growth factors, increased production of cytokines, and possible autoimmune alterations) may be the main factors leading to reduced BMD [81]. Recent research [82] has demonstrated the association between a genetic predisposition and low bone mass in patients with CD. Authors have reported that single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the genes that encode cytokines of the IL-1 family are associated with bone damage: The carriers of allele T of the IL-1b gene (IL1B-511T) had a significantly lower bone mass (total body) and a higher prevalence of osteopenia/osteoporosis. This finding supports the postulated inflammation-associated bone loss pathogenesis as one of the causes of bone weakness in CD.

Nutrition

Diet plays an important role in proper bones mineralization. Generally, a diet based on gluten-free products is low in nutrients, vitamins, and minerals, including calcium [83]. Dietary surveys have found that patients with CD who are on a GFD often consume less than the recommended amounts of calcium and vitamin D. One study [84] demonstrated that 76% to 88% of children and 85% of adolescents with CD adhering to a GFD have inadequate calcium intake. Similarly, another study [85] observed an inadequate calcium intake among children and adolescents on GFD.

Calcium supply in the diet of patients with CD is reduced even more due to a decreased intake of milk and dairy products in an effort to avoid lactose. Secondary lactose intolerance resulting from decreased lactase production by the damaged villi is common in patients with CD. Additionally, naturally gluten-free products often are low in calcium, iron, zinc, magnesium, vitamins (B group vitamins and vitamin D) and fiber. Very few gluten-free products are enriched in calcium as their wheat-containing counterparts; however, it is possible to obtain a good-quality calcium-enriched bread of pleasant sensory characteristic and high-calcium content [86,87], which according to the World Health Organization [88] could be considered an excellent source of calcium.

Epidemiology of low BMD, osteoporosis, and fractures in CD

Low BMD and osteoporosis

Several studies have demonstrated low BMD in children and adults with CD [44,50,89]. Skeletal diseases are reported in association with CD as its non-intestinal presentation. In atypical patients with CD, as well as in unrecognized cases, back pain, diffuse musculoskeletal pain, and proximal muscle weakness and osteomalacia are possible clinical manifestations of the disease in addition to osteopenia and osteoporosis [90]. Osteopenia was found in suboptimally treated patients with CD [91], subclinical patients [92], and asymptomatic adult patients [93]. One study [6] found that asymptomatic patients had significantly lower bone density than symptomatic patients. Recent published studies on BMD in adult and pediatric patients with CD are presented in Table 3.

Adults

Early research [50] investigated the BMD and prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in adult patients with CD and found

Table 3
Recent published studies on BMD in adult and pediatric celiac patients

	Country	Participants	BMD	References
In adult CD patients				
2005	England	43 CD	Low BMD in 5%; osteoporosis in 14%; Osteopenia in 40%	Lewis and Scott, 2005 [94]
2005	USA	24 CD 20 control	BMD significantly reduced in treated vs. control	Pazianas et al. 2005 [95]
2006	Norway	118 CD	BMD significantly reduced ($P < 0.001$) at all sites	Deressa et al. 2006 [96]
2009	Brazil	31 CD	Low BMD in 9%	Motta et al. 2009 [97]
2011	Finland	35 CD	Low BMD in 62%	Vilppula et al. 2011 [99]
2012	Italy	70 CD on GFD	Low BMD in 74% (among these: 24% osteoporosis; 76% osteopenia)	Larussa et al. 2012 [80]
In pediatric CD patients				
2003	Brasil	30 CD (17 children; 13 adolescents) 23 control	BMD of adolescents lower than control; no differences in BMD of children vs. control	Sdepanian et al. 2003 [84]
2003	Turkey	62 CD 64 controls	BMD < in untreated vs. treated and control	Kavak et al. 2003 [44]
2004	Italy	22 CD 428 control	BMD < in untreated vs. control	Barera et al. 2004 [102]
2006	Argentina	24 CD	Low BMD and osteopenia (17%) in untreated; axial BMD reverted to normal in most treated children under 4 y	Tau et al. 2006 [45]
2010	Slovenia	74 CD (55 strict GDF; 19 not strict GFD)	BMD higher in CD on strict GFD vs. CD on not strict GFD; BMD below −1.0 in 71% CD on not strict GFD	Blazina et al. 2010 [85]
2012	Greece	81 CD (45 untreated; 36 on GFD)	Increase of BMD after 1 y of GFD; BMD < vs. normal population	Margoni et al. 2012 [103]

BMD, bone mineral density; CD, celiac disease; GFD, gluten-free diet

that 26% had osteoporosis of the lumbar spine. Also, a study [89] analyzing a North American population with CD found that osteoporosis (T score <−2.5) and low bone mass (T score between −1.0 and −2.5) were present in 27% to 36% and in 32% to 44% of patients, at the femoral neck and lumbar spine/radius, respectively, at the time of CD diagnosis. More recent studies indicated significantly reduced BMD in newly diagnosed patients with CD [94–97]. A study that [98] tested bone density (lumbar spine, total hip, trochanter, and femoral neck) in seropositive tissue transglutaminase/immunoglobulin A endomysial antibody–positive women reported a 67.7% prevalence of osteoporosis. Osteopenia or osteoporosis was found in 22 of 35 new biopsy-proven CD patients in another study [99], which resulted in a 62% prevalence of low BMD.

Children and adolescents

Children with CD are at risk for reduced BMD. Markedly reduced bone mineral content (BMC) and BMD have been repeatedly found in children and adolescents with untreated CD, regardless of the clinical presentation [100,101]. A lower BMD, significantly higher PTH, and lower serum calcium was indicated in untreated children with CD in comparison with treated and controls [44]. Also, a previous study [102] showed significantly lower BMD in untreated children with CD. Studies [45] on young children with CD (mean age 4.9 y) showed lowered BMD and even severe osteopenia (17%) at the time of diagnosis. A recent study [103] assessed bone status in children with CD and found BMD significantly lower than expected for the normal population, even after 1 or at least 2 y of GFD.

Additionally, a risk for less-than-optimal peak bone mass acquisition and a retarded growth in CD children must be highlighted. The most rapid gain in bone mass occurs during adolescence. Bone density increases until the end of puberty, when it reaches its peak value. If normal peak bone mass is not achieved, the individual is at a higher risk for developing osteoporosis; thus, the amount of bone accrued during the pediatric years is an important predictor of an individual's future resistance to fractures [104]. The bone metabolism rate can be assessed by biochemical tests performed on blood or urine samples, which mirrors the ongoing bone metabolic processes [105]; however, only a few studies have monitored the bone metabolism rate in young patients with CD [106,107]. The rate of bone metabolism was altered in children with untreated CD, and these alterations may be the cause of osteopathy [102]. Two studies [108,109] in children with CD suggested a need for careful evaluation of growth and growth hormone (GH) secretion in those without catch-up growth. Therefore, when evaluating BMD in children with CD, an association between CD and GH deficiency should be taken into consideration, not only to avoid a false interpretation of the dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) data (apparently reduced BMD due to the short stature) but also because of the major influence of GH on BMD increase.

Fracture risk

Bone resorption and osteoporosis, being a well-established extra-intestinal manifestation of CD, calls attention to a possible increase in fracture risk. An earlier study [110] confirmed the significant association between CD and increased fracture risk. A previous study [69] and a more recent study [111] showed a higher prevalence of fractures in the peripheral skeleton before diagnosis that was associated with male sex and classic clinical presentation. However, studies in smaller numbers of selected patients drawn from hospital clinics are likely to overestimate risk and cannot be generalized to the CD population as a whole.

Population-based cohort studies on fragility fractures in individuals with CD, including those diagnosed in childhood, indicated a relative risk for any fracture ranging widely from 0.9 [112] to 7 [113] and from 0.66 [114] to 1.9 for hip fractures [115]. In a general population-based cohort study [116] that included individuals with CD (n = 14 187) and reference individuals (n = 14 187), CD was positively associated with subsequent hip fracture (hazard ratio [HR], 2.1; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.8–2.4) and fractures of any type (HR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.3–1.5). On the other hand, a systematic review with a meta-analysis that pooled 20 995 CD patients and 97 777 controls, from eight studies published between 2000 and 2007, indicated that CD patients have a 43% higher risk for fracture compared with people without CD [117]. One study [118] questioned the rationale for screening for CD in individuals with fractures, as available evidence indicates that the risk for fragility fractures is only slightly increased in CD and that the absolute risk for fracture in the majority of these individuals is low.

Treatment of bone loss in CD

Gluten-free diet

The best treatment of calcium deficiency and bone problems in patients with CD is debated. Nutritional status of these patients depends on the length of time they have lived with active but undiagnosed disease, the extent of damage to the GI tract, and the degree of malabsorption [119]. A strict and lifelong GFD can help recover normal bone density when a diagnosis of CD is made in children and adolescents [100,101]; however, there is no evidence that an optimal peak bone mass level can be achieved or that it can be maintained for many years, as happens in healthy individuals. An early start to treatment for pediatric patients with CD ensures significantly higher bone metabolism rates because the treatment reverses the inflammatory process and prevents impairment of bone mass acquisition during the most important period for its acquisition [44,120]. A longitudinal study [121] in CD children with DXA-assessed low BMD at diagnosis indicated that after 1 y on GFD, BMD became comparable with healthy controls. Similarly, another study [44] in children with CD confirmed that a strict GFD improved bone mineralization even at 1 y. Another study [122] reported that children and adolescents with CD, after being on a GFD for ≥3 y, have normal or even higher radius BMD values than controls, but the bone size remained reduced. One group of researchers [84] evaluated BMD in young patients with CD on a GFD and indicated that BMD of adolescents with CD was lower than that of the control children, whereas no difference was found between the BMD of children with CD and that of the control group. The authors attributed these findings to the longer time between symptoms and diagnosis in adolescents, due to which they suffer more malnutrition and more bone damage than children.

In the case of adult CD patients diagnosed with bones disease, a GFD is still considered to be the most rational treatment approach [123,124]. Nevertheless, a GFD rarely normalizes BMD in adulthood [125,126]. A very recent study [80] indicated that despite long-term strict adherence to GFD, 74% of patients displayed low BMD; among these 24% showed osteoporosis and 76% osteopenia. Therefore, nutritional supplementation should be considered for patients with CD.

Calcium and vitamin D supplementation

Some patients with CD may not be able to meet the recommended daily intake level for calcium and vitamin D through GFD alone, and supplements may be indicated [127]. Few studies tested calcium and vitamin D supplementation in patients with CD. One study [89] observed that among postmenopausal women, BMD was not better in patients taking calcium and vitamin D supplements than in those who were not. Whereas, 2 y of calcium (1 g/d) and vitamin D (400 U/d) supplementation increased the BMD of children and adolescents with CD, but it did not reach the sex- and age-matched values for the control population [128]. Another study [129] suggested that the daily calcium intake for people with CD should be higher than the RDA because of latent malabsorption in many patients. Increased calcium intake could potentially compensate for the reduced fractional calcium absorption in treated adult patients with CD [95]. Multiple forms of calcium supplements are available [130]; medical conditions, such as lactose intolerance, impaired gastric acid secretion, and high-risk for kidney stone formation, should be taken into consideration before selection of a calcium supplement. Currently, the dominant anions in the calcium supplement market worldwide are carbonate and citrate [131]. Calcium citrate is better absorbed than calcium carbonate, causing a greater rise in serum calcium and a greater fall in serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) [132,133]. Moreover, calcium citrate is absorbed regardless of gastric acidity; thus, individuals producing less gastric acids or taking drugs that lower acidity in the stomach, like proton pump inhibitors [134], H_2 blockers, antacids, and anticholinergics, may utilize this salt form optimally.

Finally, in some special situations, such as severe osteoporosis, it might be useful to begin treatment with hormone replacement therapy (in postmenopausal women) or bisphosphonates; however, there are no systematic data on the efficacy of bisphosphonates or other drugs commonly used for osteoporosis in patients with CD [70]. Additionally, a well-balanced diet and consumption of dairy products or alternatively low-/free-lactose products need to be encouraged; education on the importance of lifestyle changes, such as regular exercise, smoking cessation, and excessive alcohol intake should be provided; and a dietitian must be part of the health care team to monitor the patient's nutritional status and compliance of a balanced diet.

Conclusions

The reviewed studies allow one to conclude that bone alterations need to be considered as a sign of atypical CD presentation, especially in adults. Recognizing CD, it's possible connection with osteoporosis, and related fractures should always be taken into account. The investigation on increased/corrected calcium intake, the use of vitamin D metabolites, and the drugs commonly given for primary osteoporosis are required.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] Larussa T, Suraci E, Nazionale I, Abenavoli L, Imeneo M, Luzza F. Bone mineralization in celiac disease. Gastroenterol Res Prac; 2012. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/198025. Epub 2012 Apr 18.

[2] McGough N, Cummings JH. Celiac disease: a diverse clinical syndrome caused by intolerance of wheat, barley and rye. Proc Nutr Soc 2005;64:434–50.

[3] Passananti V, Santonicolaa A, Bucci C, Andreozzi P, Ranaudoa A, Di Giacomoc DV, et al. Bone mass in women with celiac disease: Role of exercise and gluten-free diet. Digest Liver Disease 2012;44:379–83.

[4] Kaukinen K, Peräaho M, Lindfors K, Partanen J, Woolley N, Pikkarainen P, et al. Persistent small bowel mucosal villous atrophy without symptoms in celiac disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;25:1237–45.

[5] Holmes GK, Prior P, Lane MR, Pope D, Allan RN. Malignancy in celiac disease—effect of a gluten free diet. Gut 1989;30:333–8.

[6] Mustalahti K, Collin P, Sievanen H, Salmi J, Maki M. Osteopenia in patients with clinically silent celiac disease warrants screening. Lancet 1999;354:744–5.

[7] Ventura A, Magazzu G, Greco L. Duration of exposure to gluten, risk for autoimmune disorders in patients with celiac disease. SIGEP Study Group for Autoimmune Disorders in Celiac Disease. Gastroenterol 1999;117:297–303.

[8] Lerner A. New therapeutic strategies for celiac disease. Autoimmun Rev 2010;9:144–7.

[9] Ploski R, Ek J, Thorsby E, Sollid LM. On the HLA-DQ (alfa 1*0501, beta 1*0201) associated susceptibility in celiac disease: a possible gene dosage effect of DQB1*0201. Tissue Antigens 1993;1:173–7.

[10] Johnson TC, Diamond B, Memeo L, Negulescu H, Hovhanissyan Z, Verkarre V, et al. Relationship of HLA-DQ8 and severity of celiac disease: comparison of New York and Parisian cohorts. Clin Gastroenthrol Hepatol 2004;2:888–94.

[11] Greco L, Romino R, Coto I, Di Cosmo N, Percopo S, Maglio M, et al. The first large population based twin study of coeliac disease. Gut 2002;50:624–8.

[12] Akobeng AK, Ramanan AV, Buchan I, Heller RF. Effect of breast feeding on risk of coeliac disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Arch Dis Child 2006;91:39–43.

[13] Plot L, Amital H. Infectious associations of coeliac disease. Autoimmun Rev 2009;8:316–9.

[14] Cammarota G, Cuoco L, Cianci R, Fedeli G, Gasbarrini G. Onset of coeliac disease during treatment with interferon for chronic hepatitis C. Lancet 2000;356:1494–545.

[15] Vazquez H, Smecuol E, Flores D, Mazure R, Pedreira S, Niveloni S, et al. Relation between cigarette smoking and coeliac disease: evidence from a case-control study. Am J Gastroenterol 2001;96:798–802.

[16] Mäki M, Mustalahti K, Kokkonen J, Kulmala P, Haapalahti M, Karttunen T, et al. Prevalence of coeliac disease among children in Finland. N Engl J Med 2003;348:2517–24.

[17] Tommasini A, Not T, Kiren V, Baldas V, Santon D, Trevisiol C, et al. Mass screening for coeliac disease using antihuman transglutaminase antibody assay. Arch Dis Child 2004;89:512–5.

[18] West J, Logan RF, Hill PG, Lloyd A, Lewis S, Hubbard R, et al. Seroprevalence, correlates, and characteristics of undetected coeliac disease in England. Gut 2003;52:960–5.

[19] Fasano A, Berti I, Gerarduzzi T, Not T, Colletti RB, Drago S, et al. Prevalence of coeliac disease in at-risk and not-at-risk groups in the United States: a large multicenter study. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:286–92.

[20] National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference statement on Celiac Disease, June 28–30, 2004. Gastroenterol 2005;128(Suppl 1):1–9.

[21] Murray JA, Van Dyke C, Plevak MF, Dierkhising RA, Zinsmeister AR, Melton AJ 3rd. Trends in the incidence and clinical features of celiac disease in a North American community, 1950–2001. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003;1:19–27.

[22] Lo W, Sano K, Lebwohl B, Diamond B, Green PHR. Changing presentation of adult celiac disease. Dig Dis Sci 2003;48:395–8.

[23] Ciclitira PJ, Moodie SJ. Transition of care between paediatric and adult gastroenterology: coeliac disease. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2003;17:181–95.

[24] Chand N, Mihas A. Coeliac disease: current concepts in diagnosis and treatment. J Clin Gastroenterol 2006;40:3–14.

[25] Di Sabatino A, Corazza GR. Coeliac disease. Lancet 2009;373:1480–93.

[26] Fasano A. Clinical presentation of coeliac disease in the paediatric population. Gastroenterol 2005;128:68–73.

[27] Green PH. The many faces of coeliac disease: Clinical presentation of coeliac disease in the adult population. Gastroenterol 2005;128:74–8.

[28] Rampertab SD, Pooran N, Brar P, Singh P, Green PH. Trends in the presentation of coeliac disease. Am J Med 2006;19:9–14.

[29] Tack GJ, Verbeek WHM, Schreurs MWJ, Mulder CJJ. The spectrum of coeliac disease: epidemiology, clinical aspects and treatment. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010;7:204–13.

[30] Esteve M, Rosinach M, Fernández-Bañares F, Farré C, Salas A, Alsina M, et al. Spectrum of gluten-sensitive enteropathy in first-degree relatives of patients with coeliac disease: clinical relevance of lymphocytic enteritis. Gut 2006;55:1739–45.

[31] Shaoul R, Lerner A. Associated autoantibodies in coeliac disease. Autoimmun Rev 2007;6:559–65.

[32] Giannotti A, Tiberio G, Castro M, Virgilii F, Colistro F, Ferretti F, et al. Coeliac disease in Williams syndrome. J Med Genet 2001;38:767–8.

[33] Green PH, Jabri B. Coeliac disease. Annu Rev Med 2006;57:207–21.

[34] Bardella MT, Trovato C, Cesana BM, Pagliari C, Gebbia C, Peracchi M. Serological markers for coeliac disease: is it time to change? Dig Liver Dis 2001;33:426–31.

[35] Green PH, Rostami K, Marsh MN. Diagnosis of coeliac disease. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2005;19:389–400.

[36] Corazza GR, Villanacci V, Zambelli C, Milione M, Luinetti O, Vindigni C, et al. Comparison of the interobserver reproducibility with different histologic criteria used in coeliac disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;5:838–43.

[37] Rashtak S, Murray JA. Coeliac disease, new approaches to therapy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2012;35:768–81.

[38] Abdulkarim AS, Burgart LJ, See J, Murray JA. Etiology of nonresponsive celiac disease: results of a systematic approach. Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97:2016–21.

[39] Ryan BM, Kelleher D. Refractory celiac disease. Gastroenterol 2000;119: 243–51.

[40] Cellier C, Delabesse E, Helmer C, Patey N, Matuchansky C, Jabri B, et al. Refractory sprue, coeliac disease, and enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma. French Coeliac Disease Study Group. Lancet 2000;356:203–8.

[41] Khanal RC, Nemere I. Regulation of intestinal calcium transport. Annu Rev Nutr 2008;28:179–96.

[42] Khanal RC, Nemere I. Endocrine regulation of calcium transport in epithelia. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2008;35:1277–87.

[43] Kalayci AG, Kansu A, Girgin N, Kucuk O, Aras G. Bone mineral density and importance of a gluten-free diet in patients with celiac disease in childhood. Pediatrics 2001;108:e89.

[44] Kavak U, Yuce A, Kocak N, Demir H, Nur Saltik I, Gurakan F. Bone mineral density in children with untreated and treated celiac disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2003;37:434–6.

[45] Tau C, Mautalen C, De Rosa S, Roca A, Valenzuela X. Bone mineral density in children with celiac disease: effect of a gluten-free diet. Eur J Clin Nutr 2006;60:358–63.

[46] Valdimarsson T, Toss G, Lofman O, Strom M. Three years' follow-up of bone density in adult coeliac disease: significance of secondary hyperparathyroidism. Scand J Gastroenterol 2000;35:274–80.

[47] Keaveny AP, Freaney R, McKenna MJ, Masterson J, O'Donoghue DP. Bone remodelling indices and secondary hyperparathyroidism in coeliac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1996;91:1226–31.

[48] Holick MF. Medical progress: vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med 2007;357:266–81.

[49] Selby P, Davies M, Adams J, Marew B. Bone loss in celiac disease is related to secondary hyperparathyroidism. J Bone Miner Res 1999;14:652–7.

[50] Kemppainen T, Kröger H, Janatuinen E, Arnala I, Kosma V-M, Pikkarainen P, et al. Osteoporosis in Adult Patients With Celiac Disease. Bone 1999;24:249–55.

[51] Zanchi C, Di Leo G, Ranfani L, Stefano M, Not T, Ventura A. Bone metabolism in celiac disease. J Pediatr 2008;153:262–5.

[52] Norman AW. Minireview: vitamin D receptor: new assignments for an already busy receptor. Endocrinol 2006;147:5542–8.

[53] Nemere I, Garbi N, Hämmerling GJ, Hintze KJ. Role of the 1,25D3-MARRS receptor in the 1,25(OH)2D3-stimulated uptake of calcium and phosphate in intestinal cells. Steroids 2012;77:897–902.

[54] Colston KW, Mackay AG, Finlayson C, Wu JCY, Maxwell JD. Localisation of vitamin D receptor in normal human duodenum and in patients with coeliac disease. Gut 1994;35:1219–25.

[55] Vogelsang H, Suk EK, Janlsiw M, Stain C, Mayr WR, Panzer S. Calcaneal ultrasound attenuation and vitamin-D-receptor genotypes in coeliac disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 2000;35:172–6.

[56] Staun M, Jarnum S. Measurement of the 10,000-molecular weight calcium-binding protein in small-intestinal biopsy specimens from patients with malabsorption syndromes. Scand J Gastroenterol 1998;23: 827–32.

[57] Hoenderop JG, Nilius B, Bindels RJ. Calcium absorption across epithelia. Physiol Rev 2005;85:373–422.

[58] Fujita H, Sugimoto K, Inatomi S, Maeda T, Osanai M, Uchiyama Y, et al. Tight junction proteins claudin-2 and -12 are critical for vitamin D-dependent Ca^{2+} absorption between enterocytes. Mol Biol Cell 2008; 19:1912–21.

[59] Van Itallie CM, Anderson JM. Claudins and epithelial paracellular transport. Annu Rev Physiol 2006;68:403–29.

[60] Van Cromphaut SJ, Dewerchin M, Hoenderop JG, Stockmans I, Van Herck E, Kato S, et al. Duodenal calcium absorption in vitamin D receptor-knockout mice: functional and molecular aspects. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001;98:13324–9.

[61] Van Abel M, Hoenderop JG, Van der Kemp AW, Hartog A, van de Graaf SF, van Os CH, et al. Regulation of the epithelial Ca^{2+} channels in small intestine as studied by quantitative mRNA detection. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2003;285:78–85.

[62] Song Y, Peng X, Porta A, Takanaga H, Peng JB, Hediger MA, et al. Calcium transporter 1 and epithelial calcium channel messenger ribonucleic acid are differentially regulated by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D_3 in the intestine and kidney of mice. Endocrinol 2003;144:3885–94.

[63] Akhter S, Kutuzova GD, Christakos S, DeLuca HF. Calbindin D9k is not required for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3-mediated Ca2+ absorption in small intestine. Arch Biochem Biophys 2007;460:227–32.

[64] Benn BS, Ajibade D, Porta A, Dhawan P, Hediger M, Peng JB, et al. Intestinal calcium transport in the absence of TRPV6 and Calbindin-D9k. Endocrinol 2008;149:3196–205.

[65] Lieben L, Benn BS, Ajibade D, Stockmans I, Moermans K, Hediger MA, et al. TRPV6 mediates intestinal calcium absorption during calcium restriction and contributes to bone homeostasis. Bone 2010;47:301–8.

[66] Chirayath MV, Gajdzik L, Hulla W, Graf J, Cross HS, Peterlik M. Vitamin D increases tight-junction conductance and paracellular Ca^{2+} transport in Caco-2 cell cultures. Am J Physiol 1998;274:389–96.

[67] Salvensen HA, Böe J. Osteomalacia in sprue. Acta Med Scand 1953;466: 290–9.

[68] Melvin KEW, Hepner GW, Bordier P, Neale G, Joplin JF. Calcium metabolism and bone pathology in adult coeliac disease. Q J Med 1970;39: 83–113.

[69] Vasquez H, Mazure R, Gonzalez D, Flores D, Pedreira S, Niveloni S, et al. Risk of fractures in celiac disease patients: a cross-sectional, case-control study. Am J Gastroenterol 2000;95:183–9.

[70] Bianchi ML, Bardella MT. Bone in celiac disease. Osteoporos Int 2008;19:1705–16.

[71] Walters JRF. The role of the intestine in bone homeostasis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003;15:845–9.

[72] Corazza GR, Di Sario A, Cecchetti L, Tarozzi C, Corrao M, Bernardi M, et al. Bone mass and metabolism in patients with celiac disease. Gastroenterol 1995;109:122–31.

[73] Garnero P, Sornay-Rendu E, Claustrat B, Delmas PD. Biochemical markers of bone turnover, endogenous hormones and the risk of fractures in postmenopausal women: the OFELY study. J Bone Miner Res 2000;15: 1526–36.

[74] Garrote JA, Gomez-Gonzalez E, Bernardo D, Arranz E, Chirdo F. Celiac disease pathogenesis: the proinflammatory cytokine network. J Pediatric Gastroenterol Nutr 2008;47(Suppl 1):27–32.

[75] Tilg H, Moschen AR, Kaser A, Pines A, Dotan I. Gut, inflammation and osteoporosis: basic and clinical concepts. Gut 2008;57:684–94.

[76] Fornari MC, Pedriera S, Niveloni S, Gonzalez D, Diez R, Vazquez H, et al. Pre- and post-treatment serum levels of cytokines IL-1beta, IL-6, and IL-1 receptor antagonist in coeliac disease. Are they related to the associated osteopenia? Am J Gastroenterol 1998;93:413–8.

[77] Taranta A, Fortunati D, Longo M, Rucci N, Iacomino E, Aliberti F, et al. Imbalance of osteoclastogenesis-regulating factors in patients with coeliac disease. J Bone Miner Res 2004;19:1112–21.

[78] Fiore CE, Pennisi P, Ferro G, Ximenes B, Privitelli L, Mangiafico RA, et al. Altered osteoprotegerin/RANKL ratio and low bone mineral density in celiac patients on long-term treatment with gluten-free diet. Horm Metab Res 2006;38:417–22.

[79] Riches PL, McRorie E, Fraser WD, Determann C, van't Hof R, Ralston SH. Osteoporosis associated with neutralizing autoantibodies against osteoprotegerin. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1459–65.

[80] Larussa T, Suraci E, Nazionale I, Leone I, Montalcini T, Abenavoli L, et al. No evidence of circulating autoantibodies against osteoprotegerin in patients with celiac disease. World J Gastroenterol 2012;18:1622–7.

[81] Bardella MT, Bianchi ML, Teti A. Chronic inflammatory intestinal diseases and bone loss. Gut 2005;54:1508.

[82] Moreno ML, Crusius JBA, Cherňavsky A, Sugai E, Sambuelli A, Vazquez H, et al. The IL-1 gene family and bone involvement in celiac disease. Immunogenetics 2005;57:618–20.

[83] Saturni L, Ferretti G, Bacchetti T. The gluten-free diet: safety and nutritional quality. Nutrients 2010;2:16–34.

[84] Sdepanian VL, de Miranda Carvalho CN, de Morais MB, Colugnati FAB, Fagundes-Neto U. Bone mineral density of the lumbar spine in children and adolescent with celiac disease on a gluten free diet in São Paulo, Brazil. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2003;37:571–6.

[85] Blazina S, Bratanic N, Campa AS, Blagus R, Orel R. Bone mineral density and importance of strict gluten-free diet in children and adolescents with celiac disease. Bone 2010;47:598–603.

[86] Krupa-Kozak U, Troszyńska A, Baczek N, Soral-Śmietana M. Effect of organic calcium supplements on the technological characteristic and sensory properties of gluten-free bread. Eur Food Res Technol 2011;232: 497–508.

[87] Krupa-Kozak U, Altamirano-Fortoul R, Wronkowska M, Rosell CM. Breadmaking performance and technological characteristic of gluten-free bread with inulin supplemented with calcium salts. Eur Food Res Technol 2012;235:545–54.

[88] Human Vitamin and Mineral Requirements Draft report of a join FAO/ WHO expert consultation. Bangkok, Thailand. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1998.

[89] Meyer D, Stavropolous S, Diamond B, Shane E, Green PHR. Osteoporosis in a North American adult population with coeliac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2001;96:112–9.

[90] Kozanoglu E, Basaran S, Goncu MK. Proximal myopathy as an unusual presenting feature of celiac disease. Clin Rheumatol 2005;24:76–8.

[91] Walters JRF, Banks LM, Butcher GP, Fowler CR. Detection of low bone mineral density by dual energy x ray absorptiometry in unsuspected suboptimally treated coeliac disease. Gut 1995;37:220–4.

[92] Corazza GR, Di Sario A, Cecchetti L, Jorizzo RA, Di Stefano M, Minguzzi L, et al. Influence of pattern of clinical presentation and of gluten-free diet on bone mass and metabolism in adult coeliac disease. Bone 1996;18:525–30.

[93] Mazure R, Vazquez H, Gonzalez D, Mautalen C, Pedreira S, Boerr L, et al. Bone mineral affection in asymptomatic adult patients with coeliac disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1994;89:2130–4.

[94] Lewis NR, Scott BB. Should patients with ceoliac disease have their bone mineral density measured? Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005;17:1065–70.

[95] Pazianas M, Butcher GP, Subhani JM, Finch PJ, Ang L, Collins C, et al. Calcium absorption and bone mineral density in celiacs after long term treatment with gluten-free diet and adequate calcium intake. Osteoporos Int 2005;16:56–63.

[96] Deressa E, Wammer AC, Falch JA, Jahnsen J. Bone metabolism in patients with newly diagnosed celiac disease. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 2006;126: 1201–4.

[97] Motta MEFA, De Faria MEN, Da Silva GAP. Prevalence of low bone mineral density in children and adolescents with celiac disease under treatment. Sao Paulo Med J 2009;127:278–82.

[98] Duerksen DR, Leslie WD. Positive celiac disease serology and reduced bone mineral density in adult women. Can J Gastroenterol 2010;24:103–7.

[99] Vilppula A, Kaukinen K, Luostarinen L, Krekelä I, Patrikainen H, Valve R, et al. Clinical benefit of gluten-free diet in screen-detected older celiac disease patients. BMC Gastroenterol 2011;11:136–43.

[100] Mora S, Weber G, Barera G, Bellini A, Pasolini D, Prinster C, et al. Effect of gluten-free diet on bone mineral content in growing patients with celiac disease. Am J Clin Nutr 1993;57:224–8.

[101] Mora S, Barera G, Ricotti A, Weber G, Bianchi C, Chiumello G. Reversal of low bone density with a gluten-free diet in children and adolescents with celiac disease. Am J Clin Nutr 1998;67:477–81.

[102] Barera G, Beccio S, Proverbio MC, Mora S. Longitudinal changes in bone metabolism and bone mineral content in children with celiac disease during consumption of a gluten-free diet. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;79: 148–54.

[103] Margoni D, Chouliaras G, Duscas G, Voskaki I, Voutsas N, Papadopoulou A, et al. Bone health in children with celiac disease assessed by dual x-ray absorptiometry: effect of gluten-free diet and predictive value of serum biochemical indices. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2012;54:680–4.

[104] Gordon CM, Bachrach LK, Carpenter TO, Karsenty G, Rauch F. Bone health in children and adolescents: a symposium at the annual meeting of the Pediatric Academic Societies/Lawson Wilkins Pediatric Endocrine Society, May 2003. Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care 2004;34:226–42.

[105] Calvo MS, Eyre DR, Gundberg CM. Molecular basis and clinical application of biological markers of bone turnover. Endocr Rev 1996;17:333–68.

[106] Praticò G, Caltabiano L, Bottaro G, Palano GM, Rotolo N, Spina M. Serum levels of osteocalcin and type I procollagen in children with celiac disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1997;24:170–3.

[107] Mora S, Barera G, Beccio S, Proverbio MC, Weber G, Bianchi C, et al. Bone density and bone metabolism are normal after long-term gluten-free diet in young celiac patients. Am J Gastroenterol 1999;94:398–403.

[108] Bozzola M, Giovenale D, Bozzola E, Meazza C, Martinetti M, Tinelli C, et al. Growth hormone deficiency and coeliac disease: an unusual association? Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2005;62:372–5.

[109] Giovenale D, Meazza C, Cardinale GM, Sposito M, Mastrangelo C, Messini B, et al. The prevalence of growth hormone deficiency and celiac disease in short children. Clin Med Res 2006;4:180–3.

[110] Jafri MR, Nordstrom CW, Murray JA, Van Dyke CT, Dierkhising RA, Zinsmeister AR, et al. Long-term fracture risk in patients with celiac disease: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. Dig Dis Sci 2008;53:964–71.

[111] Sánchez PMI, Mohaidle A, Baistrocchi A, Matoso D, Vázquez H, González A, et al. Risk of fracture in celiac disease: gender, dietary compliance, or both? World J Gastroenterol 2011;17:3035–42.

[112] Vestergaard P, Mosekilde L. Fracture risk in patients with celiac disease, Crohn's disease, and ulcerative colitis: a nationwide follow-up study of 16,416 patients in Denmark. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156:1–10.

[113] Fickling WE, McFarlane XA, Bhalla AK, Robertsonet DAF. The clinical impact of metabolic bone disease in coeliac disease. Postgrad Med J 2001;77:33–6.

[114] Thomason K, West J, Logan RF, Coupland C, Holmes GKT. Fracture experience of patients with coeliac disease: a population based survey. Gut 2003;52:518–22.

[115] West J, Logan RF, Card TR, Coupland C, Holmes GKT. Fracture risk in people with celiac disease: a population-based cohort study. Gastroenterol 2003;125:429–36.

[116] Ludvigsson JF, Michaelsson K, Ekbom A, Montgomery SM. Coeliac disease and the risk of fractures—a general population-based cohort study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;25:273–85.

[117] Olmos M, Antelo M, Vazquez H, Smecuol E, Mauriño E, Bai JC. Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies on the prevalence of fractures in coeliac disease. Dig Liver Dis 2008;40:46–53.

[118] Compston J. Is fracture risk increased in patients with coeliac disease? Gut 2003;52:459–60.

[119] Niewinski MM. Advances in coeliac disease and gluten-free diet. J Am Diet Assoc 2008;108:661–72.

[120] Mora S. Celiac disease: a bone perspective. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2003;37:409–11.

[121] Barera G, Mora S, Brambilla P, Ricotti A, Menni L, Beccio S, et al. Body composition in children with celiac disease and the effects of a gluten-free diet: a prospective case–control study. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72:71–5.

[122] Szathmari M, Tulassay T, Arato A, Bodanszky H, Szabo A, Tulassay Z. Bone mineral content and density in asymptomatic children with coeliac disease on a gluten-free diet. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2001;13:419–24.

[123] Hill ID, Dirks MH, Liptak GS, Colletti RB, Fasano A, Guandalini S, et al. Guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of celiac disease in children: recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2005;40: 1–19.

[124] Bonura F. Prevention, screening, and management of osteoporosis: an overview of the current strategies. Postgrad Med 2009;121:5–17.

[125] Capriles VD, Martini LA, Arêas JAG. Metabolic osteopathy in celiac disease: importance of a gluten-free diet. Nutr Rev 2009;67:599–606.

[126] Bianchi ML, Bardella MT. Bone and celiac disease. Calcif Tissue Int 2002;71:465–71.

[127] Scott EM, Gaywood I, Scott BB. Guidelines for osteoporosis in coeliac disease and inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2000;46:1–8.

[128] Muzzo S, Burrows R, Burgueño M, Rios G, Bergenfreid C, Chavez E, et al. Effect of calcium and vitamin D supplementation on bone mineral density of celiac children. Nutr Res 2000;20:1241–7.

[129] Walters JRF. Bone mineral density in coeliac disease. Gut 1994;35:150–1.

[130] Fishbain L. Multiple source of dietary calcium—some aspects of its essentiality. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 2004;39:67–80.

[131] Recker RR, Heaney RP. The role of combination treatment for osteoporosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol 2001;86:1888–9.

[132] Thomas SDC, Need AG, Tucker G, Slobodian P, O'Loughlin PD, Nordin BEC. Suppression of parathyroid hormone and bone resorption by calcium carbonate and calcium citrate in postmenopausal women. Calcified Tissue Int 2008;83:81–4.

[133] Tondapu P, Provost D, Adams-Huet B, Sims T, Chang C, Sakhaee K. Comparison of the absorption of calcium carbonate and calcium citrate after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Obes Surg 2009;19:1256–61.

[134] O'Connell MB, Madden DM, Murray AM, Heaney RP, Kerzner LJ. Effects of proton pump inhibitors on calcium carbonate absorption in women: a randomized crossover trial. Am J Med 2005;18:778–81.