Randomised Clinical Trial Comparing the Efficacy of A Gluten-Free Diet Versus A Regular Diet in A Series of Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis Patients
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Abstract

Objectives: To analyse the clinical efficacy of a Gluten-Free Diet (GFD) compared with a Regular Diet (RD) in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis (RRMS) patients.

Methods: Seventy-two RRMS patients were included into a prospective study. Annual relapse rate (ARR), Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and lesional activity were compared. Patients were randomly separated according to diet: (GFD, n=36) and (RD, n=36). Follow-up study period was 5.3 ± 1.6 years (median 4.5 years).

Results: At the end of the study period, a clear improvement in the EDSS was observed in GFD (1.5 ± 1.4) compared with RD (2.1 ± 1.5) (p=0.001), and lesional activity (MRI) was found in 10 (28%) in GFD, compared to 24 (67%) in RD (p=0.001) [OR: 5.200; (CI-95%: 1.901- 14.220)]. Average ARR was lower in GFD (0.4 ± 0.6) compared to RD (0.6 ± 0.6) (NS).

Conclusions: A GFD has shown a neuro-protective effect in our RRMS patients.
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Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic disease of unknown aetiology that is associated with autoimmunity and characterized by the presence of disseminated demyelinating lesions in the Central Nervous System (CNS). Activated, potentially autoimmune T cells cross the blood-brain barrier and produce inflammatory plaques and axonal loss in the brain, spinal cord or optic nerves. The result is the accumulation of demyelination and gliosis areas in the CNS. MS affects about 0.1% of the population worldwide. The relapsing-remitting form of MS (RRMS) is the initial course of more than 80% of individuals with MS. The diagnosis of MS requires that the symptoms and signs of CNS white matter involvement are disseminated temporally and spatially, with supporting evidence from MRI findings and the presence of oligoclonal bands of immunoglobulin G in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) if needed [1,2].

Celiac disease (CD) is a common condition that affects 1-2% of the population worldwide and is more prevalent in females than males by a ratio of 2:1. The atypical form, which is more frequent in adult individuals, refers to CD that presents not with prominent gastrointestinal symptoms but with extra-intestinal manifestations [3-5].

Autoimmune disorders (AIDs) occur approximately 10 times more frequently in CD patients than in the general population. The most predominant associated diseases are autoimmune thyroiditis, type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), Sjögren´s syndrome (SS), Addison’s disease (AD), autoimmune type 1 hepatitis (AIH), psoriasis and biliary cirrhosis primary (CBP), among others [6-12]. The association of AIDs with CD is considered to be mainly owing to a shared genetic tendency. CD is approximately 10 times more common than MS. When both diseases occur in a patient, CD is frequently silent, and the patient is initially diagnosed with an AID. In CD, only a strict gluten-free diet (GFD) remains the mainstay for a safe and effective treatment. Several AIDs may also improve upon patients observing a GFD because neurological syndromes are associated with gluten sensitivity in patients with and without evidence of CD [13-17]. According to this association between MS and CD, we studied the influence of a GFD on a sample of MS patients who voluntarily agreed to follow it for a prolonged period of time to assess the changes observed compared with a control group on a “regular” diet.
Methods

Patients

We conducted a prospective, controlled study of a consecutive series of patients diagnosed with RRMS who previously attended a specialized review of demyelinating diseases at the Neurology Service, University Hospital Central of Asturias (a tertiary level reference centre that serves an area of about 250,000 people), located in the Northern Spain, over the period of one year (January to December 2008).

A total of 105 patients with a previous diagnosis of RRMS were invited to voluntarily participate in a study to detect a possible clinical improvement with a GFD. The only exclusion criterion was MS patients with primary or secondary progressive forms (PP or SP). RRMS patients who agreed to participate voluntarily were referred for clinical consultation in the Hospital’s Gastroenterology Department, where they were evaluated by a gastroenterologist (LR) who specializes in the study of intestinal diseases of the small bowel.

This evaluation included a specific clinical history and a number of analytical determinations that carried out systematically on all patients. Before inclusion in the study, patients gave written informed consent, and the study was previously approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of our Hospital in compliance with the modified Helsinki Declaration Recommendations.

All of the patients were previously diagnosed with RRMS at the Demyelinating Diseases Office. They returned regularly every six months for outpatient examinations and revisions and were monitored by the same neurologist (CHL).

MS studies

The neurological diagnosis was established according to Mac Donald’s criteria (Polman-2005 revision) [18]. All patients were observed to have lesiona temporal-spatial dissemination, as assessed by the patient’s clinical history of relapses, neurological examination, and the presence of gadolinium-enhanced lesions in the brain and/or spine in Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis for the presence of oligoclonal bands (OCB) and the presence of gadolinium-enhanced lesions in the brain and/or spine in MRI were performed. All measurements were performed using a modular Automatic Autoanalyser, Hitachi model SXA-PPBD (Roche) using enzymatic or kinetic procedures.

CD Studies

Serochemical markers

For CD screening, the quantification of Anti-tissue Transglutaminase type 2 IgA (tTG-2) by commercial ELISA (Phadia Diagnostics, Uppsala, Sweden) was used as the only serological marker. This marker was considered positive for values > 2U/ml because this threshold has a higher diagnostic sensitivity [20].

Genetic markers

To study genetic susceptibility to CD, we searched for the two most commonly used markers, HLA-DQ2 (DQA1 * 0501 and DQB1 * 0201) and HLA-DQ8 (DQA1 * 0301 and DQB1 * 0302), by PCR using specific primers and a commercial kit, (HLA System ´Domino Protruns Celiac Disease, Protrans, Ketsch, Germany).

Duodenal biopsy studies

An upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with multiple (from 4-6) biopsies from the first and second portion of the duodenum was performed on all patients. The samples obtained from the small intestine were routinely stained with the standard dyes Haematoxylin and Eosin (HE), and specific monoclonal antibodies were used to identify CD3-positive intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) and quantify the total number of IELs per 100 epithelial cells. Duodenal biopsies were analysed by a pathologist with expertise in CD and classified into the following types, according to the pathological classification for the diagnosis of CD, which was described by Marsh in 1992 [21], and later modified in 1999 by Oberhuber et al. [22]. Stage 0, Histologically normal duodenum; Stage 1, Increase in the total IEL count, with a population equal to or higher than 25% of all epithelial cells; Stage 2, Hyperplasia of the crypts and/or diffuse chronic inflammatory infiltrate in the lamina itself; and Stage 3, Villous atrophy presence, subdivided into 3a) Mild, 3b) Moderate and 3c) Severe. All patients were initially offered a GFD, which they agreed to continue on an ongoing basis.

Randomization Criteria

Of the 105 patients who were initially invited to participate in the study, 33 (31%) refused. Consequently, 72 patients were enrolled, of whom only 36 (50%) strictly followed a GFD throughout the duration of the study (which took a median of 4.5 years), Group 1. The remaining 36 (50%), who left the GFD soon, or committed frequent irregularities during the 6 first months of the inclusion, constituted Group 2, in which a regular diet was followed and this group, was confirmed to belong to each group in consecutive reviews throughout the study period, which were conducted by examining the patient’s history...
as reported by the patients and their families and by performing analytical tests.

**Length of the study period**

The median duration of the study period was 4.5 years. A comparative study was performed between the baseline visit and the end of the study for each group and between groups.

**Statistics**

Continuous data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical data are presented as numbers and percentages (%). When the studied variables were not normally distributed, non-parametric statistical methods were used. For categorical variables, the χ² test was used. Differences between groups were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher’s test for post-hoc analysis. All statistical assessments used were two-tailed. Statistical calculations were performed using SPSS 15.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago IL.) and a p value less than 0.05, was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

Patients were divided according with the diet type into 2 groups: I (GFD) and II (RD). In demographic data, most of the participants were females [89% (I) vs 78% (II)]; Mean age, was very close [42 ± 11 (I) vs 44 ± 8 (II) yrs]; MS age onset, was similar in both groups: [31 ± 10 (I) vs 34 ± 9 (II) ys]; MS duration was [11 ± 6 vs 10 ± 5 ys] before entrance into the study. They have received previously β-IFN treatment in 47% (I) vs 61% (II) and have got previous pregnancies in 56% (I) vs 50% (II). No differences were found between both groups in all the parameters analyzed.

The baseline neurological records of the clinical isolated manifestations of encephalitis were [33%(I) vs 36% (II)], myelitis [67% (I) vs 64%(II)] and optical neuritis [19%(I) vs 19% (II)] and very similar. The presence of oligoclonal bands in the cerebrospinal fluid was found in [75%(I) vs 67% (II)]. The visual evoked potentials were positive in [64%(I) vs 64% (II)]. The somatosensory potentials were present in [67%(I) vs 78%(II)]. The mean baseline annual relapse rate observed was [1.0 ± 0.3 (I) vs 1.2 ± 0.4(II)], the mean basal EDSS was [1.7 ± 1.0 (I) vs 1.7 ± 1.1(II)]. The mean number of active lesions in the MRI was in percentages of [36%(I) vs 33%(II)]. Also we didn’t found any differences between both groups in all the parameters analysed.

The haematological analysis showed in the haemoglobin levels (g/dl) these results: [13.2 ± 1.4 (I) vs 13.5 ± 1.3 (II)]; WBC count x10³/ml. was of: [6.1 ± 2.2 (I) vs 6.9 ± 3.0 (II)], platelet count x10³/ml. was of [242.6 ± 60 (I) vs 243.4 ± 47 (II)] without differences between groups. Serum iron levels in mcg/ml were [88 ± 37 (I) vs 89 ± 38 (II)], ferritin levels in ng/ml [87 ± 115 (I) vs 86 ± 91 (II)]. Total cholesterol in mg/ml, was (197 ± 36 (I) vs 196 ± 34 (II)], and liver function tests, didn’t show any differences between groups.

TSH mean values expressed in U/ml were [2.3 ± 1.5 (I) vs 2.1 ± 2.0 (II)] without differences. Only the presence of anti-TPO antibodies evaluated in percentages [39 (I) vs 14 (II)] and the ANAs [25 (I) vs 6 (II)] showed differences (p<0.05) (Table 1).

We found a higher prevalence of associated diseases in all MS patients, mainly of an autoimmune nature and possibly related to gluten intolerance, but the only significant difference was that iron deficiency anaemia was more common in Group 1, than in Group 2 (p<0.005) (Table 1).

The results of the studies regarding the presence of serological,
Table 1: Associated diseases and their types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GFD (n=36)</th>
<th>RD (n=36)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dermatitis, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (64)</td>
<td>18 (50)</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDA, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (56)</td>
<td>8 (22)</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered LFTs, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>7 (19)</td>
<td>0.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0.614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapsing UTIs, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subclinical PBC, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFD: Gluten-Free Diet; RD: Regular Diet; IDA: Iron Deficiency Anemia; LFTs: Liver Function Tests; UTIs: Urinary Tract Infections; PBC: Primary Biliary Cirrhosis

Table 2: Gluten-related serological, genetic and histological findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GFD (n=36)</th>
<th>RD (n=36)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serological:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITG &gt; 2 (U/mL), n (%)</td>
<td>6 (16.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetics:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DQ2 (+), n (%)</td>
<td>13 (36.1)</td>
<td>8 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DQ8 (+), n (%)</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>3 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenal biopsies:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild villous atrophy, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>3 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFD: Gluten-Free Diet; RD: Regular Diet; ITG: Tissue Transglutaminase

Table 3: Clinical and Radiological Features at 4.5 years follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GFD (n = 36)</th>
<th>RD (n = 36)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Relapse Rate, (SD)</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS, mean ± SD, (median)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.4 (2)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.5 (3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI activity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR: 5.200 (CI:95%: 1.901-14.220)</td>
<td>24 (67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GFD: Gluten-Free Diet; RD: Regular Diet; SD: Standard deviation; EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Genetic and histological markers of gluten intolerance are two groups are shown. We didn’t find any significant difference regarding the mean ITG levels, the HLA-DQ2 and DQ8 genetic markers and the duodenal biopsy findings between both groups (Table 2).

We analyzed the possible influence of the HLA-DQ2 and DQ8 status, on the Annual Relapse Rate, EDSS, and MRI after the treatment between RD and GFD groups and we did not find any significant correlation. We did not determine the HLA-DRBI*1501 status, on this study.

The response to the GFD at the end of the follow-up period showed a clear improvement in the EDSS values (mean and median) and a lower lesion activity, as determined by MRI scans in Group 1, compared with Group 2 (p < 0.005) (Table 3).

Discussion

The present study examined 72 patients with RRMS, 36 of whom followed a GFD for a median of 4 years. When patients on a GFD were compared with a group of equal size that followed a standard diet, we found that patients following a GFD presented a decrease in EDSS values at the end of monitoring, which translated into an improvement in their physical state and a lower lesion activity by MRI, compared with a group following a normal diet (p = 0.001 for both results). There was also a decrease in the average annual rate of relapses in Group 1 with respect to Group 2, although it was not significant (NS).

These data confirm the initial hypothesis that a GFD seems to produce an objective clinical benefit in and a clear neuroprotective effect in these patients. This is a pioneering study in that we recommended a GFD to patients regardless of the presence of concomitant CD, the existence of an underlying enteropathy or an association with intolerance to gluten.

One of the weaknesses of the study is the selection of patients. A GFD was initially offered to all patients. They were subsequently divided into two groups, and the second group comprised those patients who chose not to adhere to this type of diet or resigned at the beginning of the study (in the first six months visit). The adherence of the GFD was confirmed by specific questioning of patients and their families at each biannual visit over a median follow-up of four and a half years.

Both groups included equal numbers of patients (n=36) and showed no clinical differences in terms of age of onset and duration of RRMS. In group 1, 47% of the patients were receiving immunomodulatory treatment with IFN-beta compared with 61% in group 2 (NS). There were no differences in history of pregnancy between the two groups. Both groups were also homogeneous with regard to the average annual rate of relapses and baseline EDSS. Neurological findings (VEPs, SEPs and the presence or absence of OCB in the CSF) were comparable between groups. There were also no differences in relation to MRI findings as an indicator of disease activity between groups.

Baseline blood counts and biochemistry, including liver and thyroid function tests, showed no different differences values. It should be mentioned that the presence of circulating anti-peroxidase from thyroid (TPO type) and anti-nuclear (ANA) antibodies was slightly higher in group 1, than in group 2 (p < 0.05 for both results).

The high frequency of various kinds of associated diseases, such as skin alterations, endocrine disorders (primarily hypothyroidism), increased liver function tests and osteoporosis (many of them probably autoimmune in nature), with no differences between groups, is remarkable. Iron deficiency anaemia was the second most frequent associated disease after dermatitis and occurred at a higher rate in group 1 (56%) than in group 2 (22%) (p = 0.004).

A high level of anti-tissue transglutaminase-2 (tTG-2) IgA in the serum of the patients is an important serological marker in the diagnosis of CD and correlates well with the severity of villous atrophy in the small intestinal biopsy. Anti-tTG-2 antibody serology may be negative in the presence of partial villous atrophy or in subjects on a GFD prior to testing. Regarding the serological markers of gluten intolerance, the only marker found was tTG, whose mean values were slightly higher in group 1 (6.3 ± 3.1 U/L) than in group 2 (1 ± 0.5 U/L) (NS), which may be related to the wide range of values used. This variability occurs most often in clinical practice, and a large international study has demonstrated a wide variability in the sensitivity (69-93%) and specificity (96-100%) of this measurement in a total of 20 laboratories [23]. There were also differences in the threshold of significance between different commercial reagents for the serological diagnosis of CD [24]. During the detection of CD in everyday clinical practice, the diagnostic value used is lower than that reported in the literature, especially in the absence of villous atrophy, which shows a good correlation with the diagnosis of CD [25]. Several studies have confirmed that the diagnostic sensitivity of ITG decreases to below 30% in patients with lymphocytic enteritis (Marsh1) [26].

Neurological dysfunction may be the only initial manifestation of gluten sensitivity. Antibodies to one isotype of tTG, namely, the sixth variety (tTG-6; both IgG and IgA), show a higher prevalence in gluten-related ataxia and can be used as sensitive and specific markers of neurological disorders associated with CD [27]. Reichelt et al. found a significant increase in the anti-gliadin IgA class in a series of 36 patients with MS, whereas they found no elevation in anti-tTG or anti-endomysium antibodies [28]. Regarding the genetic markers that are most commonly associated with gluten intolerance, such as the DQ2 and DQ8 subtypes of HLA-II, no differences were found between groups or in healthy bone marrow donors. In CD, DQ2 is the predominant genetic marker (90-95%), with DQ8 having a much lower frequency (5-10%). Individuals who are negative for both common genetic markers have a low risk, but at least, there are also about 5% of patients that are negative for both DQ2 and DQ8, as confirmed in a recent multinational European study [29].
Although HLA-DQ2 is the major genetic risk factor for CD, Romanos et al. [30] have shown that patients with CD who are carrying 13 or more non-HLA risk alleles are more at risk of CD than carriers of 0-5 alleles. This model produced an increased sensitivity of 6.2% compared with the isolated use of HLA as the unique marker.

Histological examination of the small intestine remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of CD. Biagi et al. [31] demonstrated that minimal intestinal lesions in the absence of positive serology are associated most often with CD. Deposits of IgA against TG-2 in mucosal tissue of the small intestine suggest that the patient may be sensitive to gluten despite having a normal duodenal villous architecture [32].

In our study, we confirmed that 8 patients had lesions of mild villous atrophy (Marsh ≥ 3) with all of the histological criteria of CD. All of these patients were in group 1, in which there was a clear predominance of coeliac patients (22.2%) compared with group 2 (0%). Our research group has recently reported an increased prevalence of CD patients (11%) among patients with RRMS, which is far greater than the 1-2% found in the general population [33].

Although the findings of a duodenal biopsy are important to confirm the diagnosis of CD, some reports have confirmed the high correlation between high serum tTG levels (>100 U/l) and the presence of villous atrophy, suggesting that duodenal biopsies could be avoided, at least in children, in this situation [34].

MS has a multifactorial aetiology and the contribution of anti-tTG and anti-gliadin antibodies (AGA) may be interesting in this disease, not only from a diagnostic point of view but also because they may contribute to its pathogenesis. Historically, a GFD has occasionally been used in a speculative way to treat MS. There are only anecdotal data by LR, SG and CHL. Drafting of the article by DF, GM, NA, JV and SG. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
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