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Anticancer Effects of Niclosamide in Human Glioblastoma
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Abstract

Purpose: Glioblastoma is a highly malignant, invariably fatal brain tumor for which effective pharmacotherapy remains an unmet medical need.

Experimental Design: Screening of a compound library of 160 synthetic and natural toxic substances identified the antihelminthic niclosamide as a previously unrecognized candidate for clinical development. Considering the cellular and interindividual heterogeneity of glioblastoma, a portfolio of short-term expanded primary human glioblastoma cells (pGBM; n = 21), common glioma lines (n = 5), and noncancer human control cells (n = 3) was applied as a discovery platform and for preclinical validation. Pharmacodynamic analysis, study of cell-cycle progression, apoptosis, cell migration, proliferation, and on the frequency of multipotent/self-renewing pGBM cells were conducted in vitro, and orthotopic xenotransplantation was used to confirm anticancer effects in vivo.

Results: Niclosamide led to cytostatic, cytotoxic, and antimigratory effects, strongly reduced the frequencies of multipotent/self-renewing cells in vitro, and after exposure significantly diminished the pGBMs' malignant potential in vivo. Mechanism of action analysis revealed that niclosamide simultaneously inhibited intracellular WNT/CTNNB1-, NOTCH-, mTOR-, and NF-kB signaling cascades. Furthermore, combinatorial drug testing established that a heterozygous deletion of the NFKBIA locus in glioblastoma samples could serve as a genomic biomarker for predicting a synergistic activity of niclosamide with temozolomide, the current standard in glioblastoma therapy.

Conclusions: Together, our data advocate the use of pGBMs for exploration of compound libraries to reveal unexpected leads, for example, niclosamide that might be suited for further development toward personalized clinical application. Clin Cancer Res; 19(15); 4124–36. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Chemotherapy has developed as an effective line of defense against cancer (1). In the highly malignant brain tumor glioblastoma, the alkylating agent temozolomide has become a standard, in combination with surgical resection and radiotherapy. There is nevertheless a considerable need to develop alternative treatments, as glioblastoma remains a fatal disease with a median overall survival time of only 15 months (2, 3). For anticancer drug discovery, two major strategies are traditionally used (4). One is the targeted approach where cancer-related molecules and/or signaling cascades must be identified before specific compounds can be designed for distinct interference and inhibition. Alternatively, empirical screening of thousands of compounds can be conducted to identify otherwise unpredictable antineoplastic effects. Both strategies are burdened with high attrition rates during clinical translation (5, 6).

This may in part be caused by the use of inept cellular model systems for drug evaluation at early developmental stages (7), for example, inter- and intrapatient tumor heterogeneity is rarely reflected within these systems. In this regard, primary human cancer cells might provide unique opportunities when applied as a discovery platform.

On the basis of this rationale and based on established conditions for the isolation and maintenance of primary human glioblastoma cells (pGBM) known to retain patient- and disease-specific traits in vitro (8–10), we pursued an empirical screening approach. Drug discovery and
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Niclosamide for Personalized Glioblastoma Therapy

Translational Relevance

The last few years have shown that chemotherapy, particularly the alkylating standard agent temozolomide, is a safe and effective adjunct treatment for patients with glioblastoma. The disease remains nevertheless fatal, and innovative preclinical efforts are needed to establish a rationale for future clinical developments and studies. As shown here, the use of short-term expanded, patient-specific cancer cells that portray intra- and interpatient heterogeneity might be ideally suited as a discovery platform for empirical screening. Validation of identified compounds on these cells might furthermore reveal unexpected effects and mechanisms with direct implication for clinical translation.

Validation was conducted on a portfolio of pGBMs representing multiple facets of the disease. Human nonmalignant neural cell populations (hnNC) and commonly used glioma cell lines served as a control in these studies. The small-molecule niclosamide emerged as glioblastoma-selective, proapoptotic, antiproliferative compound that, after exposure to pGBMs, significantly increased survival and hippocampus-derived adult human neural progenitor cells (AHNP; case #155; ref. 12) and case #GNV019 cells (13) were recently described. Data presented here were obtained from short-term expanded pGBMs and AHNPs (passage 5–12; Supplementary Table S2). With exception of the neurosphere assay, all cells were cultured adherently on laminin/poly-γ-ornithine–coated plastic. In addition to AHNPs, two long-term self-renewing neural stem cell cultures (lt-NES) were used as nonmalignant neural control. lt-NESs were derived from the human embryonic stem cell line H9.2 (14) and from the human induced pluripotent stem cell line PKa (15, 16).

Materials and Methods

For patient data see Supplementary Table S1.

Tissue samples

Tumor tissue was obtained from glioblastoma surgery and hippocampus tissue (case #155) from epilepsy surgery at the Department of Neurosurgery, University of Bonn (Bonn, Germany). Case #GNV019 glioblastoma tissue was obtained from the Department of Neurosurgery, University of Florida (Gainesville, FL). Local Ethics committees at both sites approved the studies—patients or their guardians, provided informed consent. Tissue diagnosis and grading was based on the classification of the World Health Organization (11).

Tissue handling and cell culture

Media, reagents, and analytic compounds are available from Life Technologies or Sigma-Aldrich. "Standard glioblastoma model" cell lines [LN229, T98G, U87(MG), U118, and U373(MG)] were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM)/F12–based media supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (HyClone; standard conditions; _sm). For some studies, defined media (_dm) were applied to glioblastoma model lines for 10 days before initiation of experiments. _dm resembles media compositions used for the culture of pGBMs and hnNCs/AHNPs, that is, adapted from ref. (8): N2/B27-supplemen-

Compound screening

Killer Plates (MicroSource) compounds were supplied at 1 μmol/L [0.01% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for control] 24 hours after seeding 2 to 3 × 10⁷ cells per well into 96-well plates. At day 5, cellular viability was determined using the alamarBlue assay (Life Technologies) and an Infinite200 microplate reader (Tecan) at λ_em = 540 nm and λ_ex = 590 nm. Experiments were carried out in triplicates for each sample. For pharmacodynamic analysis, IC₅₀ was defined as compound concentration that reduced the cellular viability by 50% compared with control conditions and determined via data analysis in GraphPad Prism 4.0.

Coculture experiments

Lentiviral transduction and selection of pGBMs was conducted using the pLent6.2/V5-DEST Gateway Vector harboring the coding sequence of GFP as suggested by the manufacturer (Life Technologies). Flow cytometry confirmed stable cellular expression. Alternatively, pGBMs and hnNCs were labeled with CellTracker (carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester [CFSE] green fluorescent dye or -Red CMTPX; Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For initiation of respective cocultures, cells were mixed at 1:1 ratios and maintained for 24 hours before conducting experimental paradigms. For distinctive monitoring of cell growth, a fluorescence-enabled CellVista System Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics) was used. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) data for endpoint analysis were obtained using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

Proliferation kinetics

Five days posttreatment, 4.7 × 10⁴ vital cells were plated into 3.5-cm plastic dishes, and 4 to 6 days later trypsinized, harvested, counted, and replated at a density of 4.7 × 10⁴. The procedure was repeated four to five times. Cell growth was monitored using the CellVista System Analyzer for cellular confluency.

Cell migration

A total of 5 × 10⁴ cells were plated into 12-well plates and treated with 125 nmol/L niclosamide every 24 hours for...
4 days. At day 3 (cellular density of 70%), a scratch/wound was inflicted with a sterile pipette tip and media was exchanged to remove nonadherent cells. The Plaque Assay application of the CellVista System Analyzer was used for monitoring (triplicate analysis ± SEM).

**Cell cycle**

Cells (5 × 10⁴/well) were grown in 12-well plates, and collected after treatment at indicated time points. Cells were resuspended in PBS, fixed with ice-cold methanol, and incubated for 24 hours at 4°C. Pellets were centrifuged and resuspended in PBS, containing 50 µg/mL propidium iodide (PI) and 50 µg/mL RNase. Following incubation for 30 minutes at 37°C, cells were analyzed for DNA content using the FACSCalibur flow cytometer. The sample size for every experiment was 2 × 10⁶ cells.

**Apoptosis**

A total of 1 × 10⁵ cells were collected at 5 days following compound application, settled by centrifugation, resuspended in 100 µL Annexin V buffer, and incubated with 5 µL Annexin V–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) for 1 hour at room temperature. To distinguish between living and dead cells, labeling with 1.2 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 was used. Annexin V presence was determined in a LSRII cytometer equipped with FACSDiva Software (BD Biosciences). A total of 2 × 10⁶ cells were counted per measurement. The term “avital cells” referred to Annexin V⁺, Annexin V⁻/H33258⁻, and H33258⁻ cells.

**Neurosphere assay**

The assay was conducted as described previously (10, 13). Neurospheres were quantified at 21 days in culture, trituated with 100 µL propidium iodide (PI) and 50 µg/mL RNase. Following incubation for 30 minutes at 37°C, cells were analyzed for DNA content using the FACSCalibur flow cytometer. The sample size for every experiment was 2 × 10⁶ cells.

**Quantitative reverse transcription PCR**

Total RNA was isolated (RNeasy Mini Kit; Qiagen), quantified (Nanodrop; Peqlab), and 400 ng was reversely transcribed with oligo-dT primers in a reaction mix (1 x RT-Puffer, 10 mmol/L dithiothreitol [DTT], 500 µmol/L pooled dNTPs, 1 U/µL RNase inhibitor, and 2.5 U/µL Expand Reverse Transcriptase; Roche Diagnostics). Reaction occurred at 42°C for 1 hour. The CDNA product was amplified in a total volume of 10 µL in 96-well plates using the reallplex 4 Mastercycler Ep Gradient S (Eppendorf) at 95°C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, 60°C for 20 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds. The following primers were used: S100A4 forward, 5’-CTACGCGCCTTCTCTTTTCC-3’; S100A4 reverse, 5’-GGGTCACAGCAGTCCTTTA-3’; c-Myc forward, 5’-TCCGGTATGCGAA-AACCAAG-3’; c-Myc reverse, 5’-CAGCAGCTGAAATTTCTCTCC-3’; cyclin D1 forward, 5’-CTGTCATCGGAAAGATC-3’; cyclin D1 reverse, 5’-ATGCCAGCGGGAGAC-3’; NFKBIA forward, 5’-ACACCGATGGAGAATTCGCC-3’; NFKBIA reverse, 5’-GGTGTCTAATGCACGGA-3’; GAPDH forward, 5’-TGACACCAACTCTAGCTACC-3’; GAPDH reverse, 5’-GCCATGACCTGTGATGAG-3’. Data were analyzed with the Mastercycler Ep Realplex Software (Eppendorf). Mean values were calculated from triplicate reactions. Each mean value of expressed genes was normalized to the mean amount of the respective housekeeping glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) cDNA.

**Single-nucleotide polymorphism array analysis**

Evaluation of the NFKBIA locus was conducted on the basis of genotyping data of the respective samples [pGBMs; 299,140 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP); Illumina HUMANCytoSNP-12 v2.1; Infinium HD assay; Illumina], or based on public data (glioblastoma cell lines; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE13021). Analysis with Illumina GenomeStudio (2011.1) software included the Genotyping and GenomeViewer modules. Chromosomal aberrations were identified by examination of log R ratios and B-allele frequencies.

**MGMT promoter status**

Methylation of the MGMT gene was determined by pyrosequencing as described recently (19).

**Orthotopic xenografts**

The Ethical Committee of the University of Bonn approved all animal studies. Rag2−/−Il2rg−/− mice were acquired from Iaconic Farm Inc., contractor of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases’ investigators (20). SCID/Beige mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. For transplantation, cells were harvested,
counted, and resuspended in 0.1% DNase/PBS. Cell vitality was confirmed via Trypan blue exclusion. For case #046, 10^6 DMSO-control (n = 5)- or niclosamide (n = 5)-pretreated pGBMs were unilaterally injected into the striatum of 12-week-old Rag2Il2rg^-/- mice (0.8 mm anterior, 2 mm lateral, 3 mm deep). Similarly, engrafted naïve case #046 cells were additionally used for in vivo analysis of niclosamide. Treatment was initiated at day 62 posttransplantation and consisted of intraperitoneal application of 30 mg/kg niclosamide in solvent, or solvent solution (control; 10% Cre-morphor EL; 0.9% NaCl; according to ref. 21) for 3 × 5 days. For case #GNV019, 2.5 × 10^4 sham control (Killer Plates compound 2F05; n = 9)- or niclosamide (n = 6)-pretreated pGBMs were injected intracranially into P2 to P3 old SCID/Beige mice. Mice were monitored daily and euthanized when signs of distress/neurologic symptoms or significant weight loss was noted. The case #GNV019 experiment was terminated at day 169 with one remaining animal that did not seem distressed. For subsequent histologic analysis, brains were removed, cryoprotected, and serially cut on a cryostat (Leica) at 20 μm thickness. Every fifth section underwent standard hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining for histologic analysis of tumor formation.

Statistical analysis
GraphPad Prism 4.0 software was used. Data with error bars represent triplicate experiments (mean ± SD) unless otherwise noted. P values for Figs. 3C and E and 6C and F were determined using two-way ANOVA. For multiple comparisons, P values were calculated using the one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. If applicable, the two-sided Student t test was used to determine statistical significance (P < 0.05).

Results
Niclosamide is a previously unrecognized candidate for glioblastoma therapy
The Killer Plates compound library comprising 160 synthetic and natural toxic substances was used for empirical screening. In many ways, this collection represents other commercial or experimental libraries containing known drugs next to less characterized (e.g., natural) substances that are potentially able to induce otherwise unpredictable (e.g., antineoplastic) effects. The major goal of our study was to test whether heterogeneous pGBMs were suited to identify previously unconsidered new drug candidates—and/or mechanisms that could be applied to current therapeutic settings. Four pGBMs, previously shown to maintain patient- and glioblastoma-specific signatures and to contain subpopulations of tumor cells with and without multipotent/self-renewing qualities, were used as a discovery platform (cases #023, #035, #046, and #106; ref. 10). The screening was conducted using the alamarBlue assay to determine the metabolic activity as a measure of cellular viability 5 days after single application of the library’s compounds (1 μmol/L; Supplementary Table S3). Every compound that reduced the mean metabolic activity of the four pGBMs to less than 50% control levels was considered as a “hit.” Thirty-one compounds fulfilled this criterion (Fig. 1A), but only 25 indicated a sufficient potential to address interpatient heterogeneity, impacting effectively on all four of the tested pGBMs (Fig. 1B and E). Among these, 10 “hits” showed a cancer-specific potential, as they did not similarly affect hnNC case #155, a control case of nonmalignant primary AHNPs (ref. 12; Fig. 1C and E). Noteworthy, a variety of strategies could be used to prioritize “hit compounds” for follow-up experiments. Here, we opted for an array of five criteria (see Fig. 1E). The first three were intended to identify compounds that (criterion #1) effectively inhibited the cellular viability of (criterion #2) a diversity of pGBMs, without (criterion #3) similarly affecting human neural control cells. The remaining two criteria focused on novelty: many existing compound libraries have already been empirically screened using “standard glioblastoma models,” for example, as part of the NCI60 collection. We were thus curious to select in this study for compounds that may have been overlooked in the past. pGBM “hit compounds” that did not show activity in the standard U87 model system were therefore considered as a positive selection example (criterion #4; Fig. 1D). Similarly, compounds already under clinical investigation were excluded (criterion #5). From this array of criteria, only niclosamide emerged (Fig. 1E). Niclosamide is an established antihelminthic drug for which recent work in extra-neural, for example, preclinical colorectal cancer models had already suggested antineoplastic activity (21, 22). This encouraged further assessment as a candidate compound for glioblastoma therapy.

Niclosamide effectively and selectively inhibits glioblastoma cell viability
To validate the screening results, pharmacodynamic analysis was conducted using a formulation of niclosamide from an independent supplier (Sigma-Aldrich). A total of 21 pGBMs were investigated. The obtained dose–response curves showed consistent courses for all of these samples (Fig. 2A). The respective IC_{50} values ranged from 300 nmol/L to 1.2 μmol/L. This contrasted to the more resistant attributes of reference- and control-samples. Of note, 2.4- to 4.2-fold higher IC_{50} values were found in the resistant attributes of reference- and control-samples. Of particular note, an antineoplastic activity (21, 22), this encouraged further assessment as a candidate compound for glioblastoma therapy.

It has frequently been suggested (e.g., ref. 7) that “standard glioblastoma models,” maintained in standard serum media (_sm) may have lost specific traits during the decade-long period of their use in the field. Consequently, we investigated whether defined media conditions (_dm) used for the culture of pGBMs and hnNCs would alter the sensitivity of these cell lines to niclosamide. Data from pharmacodynamic analysis confirmed this assumption, showing pGBM-like degrees of sensitivity to niclosamide (Fig. 2B, bottom inset; Supplementary Fig. S2). Thus, niclosamide appeared as candidate agent that would not have been identified if “standard glioblastoma models/conditions” were used for empirical screening.
Considering the cellular and genetic diversity that characterizes glioblastoma, we next investigated niclosamide’s pharmacologic effect in an array of pGBMs reflecting key clinical constellations (10, 23–25). Comparative experiments were carried out with samples derived from (i) the tumor core (center) versus periphery region of the same patient with glioblastoma, (ii) primary versus recurrent disease of the same patient with glioblastoma, (iii) MGMT-promoter hypermethylated versus unmethylated tissue, and from (iv) glioblastomas with heterozygous-deleted NFKBIA versus undeleted NFKBIA genotypes. In all of the respective pGBMs, similar pharmacodynamic results were obtained (top inset Fig. 2B; Supplementary Fig. S1), portraying niclosamide as a highly effective inhibitor of the different molecular subtypes of pGBMs.

Notably, analysis of the three nonmalignant hnNCs that were maintained in defined and mitogen-supplied culture conditions similar to pGBMs revealed a significantly lower level of sensitivity (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. S1). To confirm the putative glioblastoma-selective activity of niclosamide, we conducted coculture experiments combining hnNC case #155 with various pGBMs. Continuous monitoring and endpoint FACS analysis after exposure to the compound indeed revealed that niclosamide selectively inhibited pGBMs (Fig. 2C and D).

Cytostatic, cytotoxic, and antimigratory effects of niclosamide in pGBMs

To classify the inhibitory activity of niclosamide, cell cycle, vitality, and migratory activity were investigated.

Figure 1. Primary screening of the Killer Plates identifies niclosamide (Niclo) as lead compound. A, pGBMs (cases #023, #035, #046, and #106) were exposed to the library’s 160 compounds (1 μmol/L) for 5 days. The graph arranges mean data relative to controls. Respective temozolomide responses are indicated for reference including error bars (right; 50 μmol/L, gray line; 500 μmol/L, black line). Thirty-one “hits” were identified (light gray bars; 50% level indicated as dotted line; individual “hit” data in (B), triplicate analysis; mean ± SD). hnNCs (C; case #155) and the U87 glioma cell line (D; maintained in standard media; sm) were investigated in parallel. Insets in (B)–(D) compare niclosamide data to respectively investigated control compounds. E, selection criteria for niclosamide (2B03) as a lead compound: 1 = mean relative metabolic activity <50%; 2 = relative metabolic activity <50% in all four pGBMs; 3 = relative metabolic activity >50% in hnNCs; 4 = relative metabolic activity >50% in U87_sm; 5 = compound not in clinical use or in clinical studies on patients with glioma. Compounds coded according to Killer Plate-identifiers (Supplementary Table S3; *, * P < 0.05; **, ** P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; /, / P < 0.001; /, / P < 0.001).
after a single exposure of the compound. Flow cytometry analysis showed a transient G1 arrest of pGBMs peaking at 24 to 48 hours (Fig. 3A and Supplementary Fig. S3). This coincided with a strong decrease of CCND1 (cyclin D1) expression, a regulator of the transition from G1 to S phase (Fig. 3B). Consequently, examination of pGBM's growth kinetics revealed a transient cytostatic effect (Fig. 3C). At 5 days following compound exposure, an additional cytotoxic response was noted by phase contrast microscopy (not shown) and quantified by flow cytometry (Fig. 3D). Intriguingly, subtoxic concentrations of niclosamide also caused antimigratory effects on pGBMs, similar to recent findings described for colon cancer cells (ref. 21; Fig. 3E).

Niclosamide inhibits the malignant potential of pGBMs

We next aimed to investigate the influence of niclosamide on the malignant potential of the heterogeneous cell populations present in pGBMs. An experimental paradigm was designed to study cells that survived a single dose niclosamide (20%–30% remain vital at this concentration, compare Fig. 3D). In vitro monitoring of pGBMs (n = 4) showed that

Figure 2. Niclosamide (niclo) effectively and selectively inhibits glioblastoma cell viability. A, pharmacodynamic analysis of 21 pGBMs (cases indicated) at day 5 following exposure to niclosamide (concentration indicated). Data as mean ± SD of triplicates. B, spectrum of IC50 values representing the concentrations that decrease the metabolic activity to 50% of control levels. Data collected from three hnNCs (see Materials and Methods), five commercially available glioma/glioblastoma cell lines (standard media, sm; see Materials and Methods), and 21 pGBMs [see (A) and Supplementary Table S1]. The top inset depicts IC50 data from additional pair-wise comparative experiments (symbol coded) on pGBMs derived from tumor center versus periphery, from primary versus recurrent disease, from MGMT promoter hypermethylated versus unmethylated specimens, and from samples with NFKBIA+/− versus heterozygous NFKBIA−/− genotypes (NFKBIA−/−). The lower inset additionally depicts IC50 data obtained from niclosamide-treated glioblastoma cell lines maintained in defined media (dm; n = 5; mean ± SD). P values (∗∗∗∗, P < 0.001) were calculated from comparing hnNCs and glioblastoma cell line data with pGBMs, respectively, using the one-way ANOVA and Tukey post hoc tests. n.s., not significant. C, CellaVista-based analysis of cocultures. Data were obtained at indicated time points after application of niclosamide (1 μmol/L). Triplicate analysis (∗∗∗∗∗, P < 0.001; −−, P < 0.01). D, FACS data obtained 5 days after application of niclosamide (1 μmol/L) to respective cocultures. The inset depicts a representative set of scatter plots. Note that pGBM cases #046 and #078 are NFKBIA−/−; cases #035 and #106 are NFKBIA+/− genotypes. LT, lentivirally transduced; CT, CellTracker-labeled populations.
these cells regained proliferative activity after compound application (Fig. 4A and C). Xenografting of naive versus preexposed vital pGBM cells was then performed to determine whether these populations conducted similarly in vivo. We hypothesized that the survival time of engrafted animals would remain unchanged if niclosamide preexposure would not influence the malignant potential of pGBMs. Yet, in comparison with naive cell grafts, animals receiving similar numbers of preexposed vital pGBMs survived significantly longer (Fig. 4B and D). This extension could not solely be explained by a potential growth disadvantage of vital preexposed cells. From the in vitro monitoring studies, a delay of 14 to 23 days would have been expected (compare Fig. 4A and C). However, pGBM case #GN019 engrafted animals that died from naive cell tumor growth after 44 ± 6 days did not show evidence for tumor formation for up to 233 days when engrafted with preexposed cells (Fig. 4B). pGBM case #046 cells that formed large tumors after 88 ± 5 days showed smaller, developing tumors at 153 ± 23 days after engraftment, when preexposed to niclosamide (Fig. 4D). Histologic analysis consistently revealed massive intracerebral tumors after unilateral intrastriatal application of naive case #046 cells and a strong invasive potential of individual engrafted cells along white matter tracts toward the contralateral hemisphere (Fig. 4E). In contrast, tumors that developed in 4 of 5 animals from niclosamide preexposed case #046 pGBMs were smaller in size with cells accumulating in areas adjacent to the transplant site (Fig. 4F). Mitotic active pGBMs clustered in the subventricular zone and dispersed from there through the corpus callosum (inset Fig. 4F). Apparently, this diffuse invasion sufficed in the long-term in vivo experiments to induce distress in the animals that required euthanization even before an expanding tumor mass manifested. In a preliminary study, we additionally investigated systemic administrations of niclosamide to xenografted animals in which intracerebral tumors had already been established (Fig. 4G). This experiment, however, showed only a trend toward extended survival (P = 0.0549), which at least in part
could be due to the "pilot" character of our investigation, for example, the limited number of animals studied and the used experimental setting, including the choice of timing and dosage of compound applications.

Our preexposure experiments nevertheless suggested that niclosamide has an inhibitory impact on the malignant potential of pGBMs. Our preexposure experiments with niclosamide for Personalized Glioblastoma Therapy.

Figure 4. Niclosamide (niclo) inhibits the malignant potential of pGBMs. Data from NFKBIA\(^{+/+}\) - (A, B, and H) and NFKBIA\(^{-/-}\) - (C-G, and I) pGBM genotypes. A, long-term cell growth data after single niclosamide application (niclo; dotted lines) versus 0.01% DMSO (solid lines; mean \(\pm\) SD; triplicates). B, Kaplan–Meier survival curves depicting DMSO-pretreated (solid; tumor manifestation in 8 of 9 animals) versus niclosamide (dotted) preexposed cell xenografts. Note, no animal receiving niclosamide preexposed xenografts showed evidence for tumor formation (censored events). C, \textit{in vitro} data (similar to A). D, Kaplan–Meier survival curves (similar to B). Intracerebral tumors manifested in all but one animal (niclosamide; red dot). E, DMSO-typic, H&E-stained horizontal brain section exposing a right hemispheric tumor mass and diffusely crossing/invading tumor cells (collage; 85 days after engraftment). F, niclosamide-typic, H&E-stained horizontal brain section (collage; 158 days following engraftment) showing only few tumor cells at the site of initial engraftment, distributing between cortical (Cx) and striatal (Str) aspects (arrows). The inset exposes mitotically active (arrowheads) glial tumor cells in the subventricular zone (SVZ) of (F) diffusely invading the adjacent corpus callosum (CC). LV, lateral ventricle. Scale (E) and (F), 2 mm; (F) inset, 100 \(\mu\)mol/L. G, \textit{in vivo} pilot study (pGBM case #046; see Materials and Methods). Naïve tumor cell accumulation was validated at day 50 and 60 after transplantation (\(n = 2\) animals each). Niclosamide treatment [30 mg/kg body weight intraperitoneally (i.p.); according to ref. 21] was initiated at day 62 (15/3 weeks; indicated by arrows). All animals showed intracerebral tumors (solvent-treated, solid; niclosamide-treated, dotted). Calculation of \(P\) values (B, D, and G) based on the log-rank test. H, neurosphere assay (\(n = 2\) pGBMs in triplicate; mean \(\pm\) SD). The graph depicts the relative frequency of primary (1\(^{st}\)), secondary (2\(^{nd}\)), and tertiary (3\(^{rd}\)) neurospheres from niclosamide preexposed pGBMs (single exposure). Note the persistent decrease of sphere-forming cells (SFC). The inset visualizes neuronal (\(\beta\)III tubulin; red) and glial (GFAP; green) differentiation potential of remaining cells from 3\(^{rd}\) neurospheres (case #GN019 shown). Scale bar, 25 \(\mu\)m. I, neurosphere data (similar to G). The inset visualizes neuronal (\(\beta\)III tubulin; green) and glial (GFAP; red) differentiation potential of remaining cells from 3\(^{rd}\) neurospheres (case #046 shown; scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m). ***, \(P < 0.001\); ***, \(P < 0.01\); **, \(P < 0.05\). GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein.
preexposed cells is an effect on the self-renewing/multipotent cell fraction that in the past had been related to tumor initiation (e.g., refs. 26–29). In previous studies, we had established their frequencies in the range from 0.25% to 1% among our culture passage 5 to 10 pGBMs (10). Here, the neurosphere assay was used to estimate potential niclosamide-induced alterations to this pool of cells. Quantification of 1⁰ to 3⁰ spheres from DMSO- versus niclosamide preexposed cells indeed indicated that a single application of the compound reduced the frequencies of self-renewing/multipotent pGBM cells considerably (n = 4; Fig. 4H and I).

Niclosamide interferes with cancer-driving signaling cascades

A circumscribed number of transcription factors and signaling pathways are overly active in human cancer cells (30). Evidence from previous studies had suggested that niclosamide interfered with several of these in non-neural cancer cells, specifically affecting the NOTCH-, mTOR-, WNT-/CTNNB1-, and NF-kB signaling cascades (21, 22, 31–33). We focused on these cascades for mechanism of action analysis. pGBMs were investigated at day 5 after a single-dose exposure (n = 4; cases #046, #078, #081, and #106). Western blot analyses showed a concentration-
Figure 6. Deletion and expression level of NFKBIA predicts synergistic activity of niclosamide (Niclo) and temozolomide (TMZ). A–C, and G, data from analysis of pGBMs; D–F, data from analysis of standard glioblastoma (GBM) cell lines maintained in defined media conditions (_dm). A, changing mRNA levels in NFKBIA+/− (gray) versus NFKBIA+/+/− (black/white) pGBMs in response to niclosamide (relative to DMSO control). Inset, baseline mRNA expression levels of NFKBIA. B, CI evaluation for application of niclosamide + temozolomide in pGBMs. CIs were expressed as ratio of observed versus expected cell viability. Expected results were calculated according to ref. (42) as proportion of viable cells after treatment with (only) 1 μmol/L niclosamide multiplied by the proportion of cells following treatment with (only) temozolomide. (CI < 1: synergy; CI = 1: additive; CI > 1: antagonism). C, representative combinatorial pharmacodynamics of temozolomide and niclosamide in NFKBIA+/−/− (left) versus NFKBIA+/+/+ (right) pGBMs. Increasing concentrations of niclosamide were supplied either in combination with 50 μmol/L temozolomide or with 0.05% DMSO as control. Data presented as mean ± SD of triplicates. D, quantification of mRNA levels, similar to (A). E, evaluation of CI values, similar to (B). F, combinatorial pharmacodynamics, similar to (C). G, TNF-α antagonizes synergistic activity in NFKBIA+/+/− pGBMs. Graphs present data from combinatorial treatment schedules in NFKBIA+/+/− (black) versus NFKBIA+/−/− (gray) pGBMs at 3 days after compound application (niclo, 1 μmol/L temozolomide or with 0.05% DMSO as control). Data presented as mean ± SD; one-way ANOVA and Tukey post hoc tests). CI, combinatorial index.
dependent inhibition of NOTCH pathway activity and expression, indicated by decreasing levels of NOTCH1 and cleaved NOTCH1-protein (Fig. 5A and B). Similarly, decreasing levels of the phosphorylated S6-protein were observed as an indication for repression of active mTOR signaling (ref. 34; Fig. 5A and B). Exploration of the WNT/CTNNB1 pathway suggested that niclosamide furthermore interfered with the noncanonical (alternative) AKT-dependent regulation of CTNNB1’s transcriptional activity. Characteristic for the active state of this mechanism, known to play an important role for tumor invasion, is an enhanced nuclear accumulation of CTNNB1, phosphorylated at Ser\(^{\text{52}}\) (35). Immunocytochemical detection (Fig. 5C) and quantification (Fig. 5D) of this antigen showed its strongly decreased presence in the nuclei of pGBMs in response to niclosamide. Consequently, the expression of CTNNB1 target genes decreased significantly (Fig. 5E; refs. 21, 36, 37). Thus, niclosamide revealed a pleiotropic mechanism of action in pGBMs, inhibiting major cancer-driving signaling cascades simultaneously.

In contrast to the consistent inhibition of these pathways, niclosamide exhibited a variable effect on NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling, as evidenced by analysis of phospho-REL A (p65-NF-\(\kappa\)B) protein expression (Fig. 5F). Pathway inhibition could only be achieved in pGBMs (cases #046 and #078) that showed a distinctive heterozygous deletion of the NFKBIA locus (NFKBIA\(^{\text{+/−}}\)) at 1q13. This finding intrigued, because recent work had suggested that deletion and low expression of NFKBIA, encoding for a repressor of intracellular NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling, is associated with unfavorable clinical outcomes and temozolomide chemotherapy resistance in patients with glioblastoma (25). NFKBIA predicts synergistic effects of niclosamide and temozolomide

We next aimed to understand the differential effect of niclosamide on the NF-\(\kappa\)B signaling pathway in relation to the NFKBIA genotype. Baseline expression levels of NFKBIA coincided with the respective genomic status in pGBMs from our cohort (\(n = 3\) each group) as well as in “standard glioblastoma models” (\(n = 2\); group; _dm conditions; inset Fig. 6A and D). Upon exposure to niclosamide, NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\) pGBMs and glioblastoma cell lines strongly upregulated their initially low expression levels, while NFKBIA\(^{+/+}\) samples did not (Fig. 6A and D). As upregulation of NFKBIA expression goes along with inhibition of NF-\(\kappa\)B activity, this finding might explain why niclosamide inhibits the activity of this pathway in NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\), but not in NFKBIA\(^{+/+}\) samples (compare Fig. 5F). Interestingly, NF-\(\kappa\)B inhibitors are known to sensitize to anticancer drugs, and downregulation of NFKBIA in glioblastoma cells and tissue is associated with a lack of response to \(O^{\text{2−}}\)-alkylating agents, for example, the standard glioblastoma chemotherapeutic temozolomide (38). Bredel and colleagues (25) have recently shown that retrovirally mediated reexpression of NFKBIA in NFKBIA-deleted glioblastoma cell models can lead to reversal of temozolomide resistance. Because our data suggested niclosamide as a “natural inducer” of NFKBIA expression in NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\) samples, we next investigated for a potential benefit of combined niclosamide/temozolomide application in our samples.

Seven pGBMs and four standard glioblastoma cell lines (_dm conditions) with known NFKBIA status (Fig. 6B, C, E, and F) underwent combinatorial drug testing. Noteworthy, all the pGBM samples showed an unmethylated MGMT promoter status, a condition that indicates poor clinical responses to temozolomide-based glioblastoma chemotherapy (Supplementary Table S1; ref. 2, 25). Combinatorial index (CI) analysis of niclosamide was conducted in the presence of 50 \(\mu\)mol/L temozolomide. The concentration of temozolomide was based on the reported plasma peak level (39), which is known to impact very little on the viability of glioma cells in vitro (10, 40, 41). Similarly, we here observed that 50 \(\mu\)mol/L temozolomide reduced the viability of pGBMs and glioblastoma cell lines to only 94% ± 4% and 89% ± 8% of control levels, respectively (\(n = 7 + 4\); data not shown). In combination with niclosamide, however, a strong synergistic effect was noted selectively in NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\)-pGBMs and -glioblastoma cell lines (Fig. 6B and E). Their dose–response curves left-shifted compared with NFKBIA\(^{+/+}\) samples (Fig. 6C and F). CI calculation (42) of niclosamide/temozolomide revealed nearly additive effects in NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\)-pGBMs (CI, 0.94 ± 0.04), and strong synergistic effects in NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\)-samples (CI, 0.68 ± 0.06; Fig. 6B). Similar genotype-dependent data were obtained from glioblastoma cell lines (Fig. 6E). To directly show the involvement of NF-\(\kappa\)B in the observed synergistic activity, we conducted control studies using the NF-\(\kappa\)B activator TNF-\(\alpha\) (Peprotech). Application of TNF-\(\alpha\) activated NF-\(\kappa\)B in pGBMs, and in accordance to our hypothesis, counteracted synergy effects in NFKBIA\(^{+/−}\) genotypes (Fig. 6G).

Together, our data suggest that niclosamide can augment anticancer effects of the standard therapeutic temozolomide and that the genomic status of NFKBIA could predict a synergistic effect of the combined drugs in glioblastoma.

Discussion

Significant therapies for patients with glioblastoma are limited. In search for new therapeutic options, our study set out at the earliest time point of anticancer drug development. The results of our work have a variety of implications. First, we could show that short-term expanded patient-specific cells can be useful tools for the identification of previously unconsidered new drug candidates. An advantage of appropriate collections of these cells is that inter- and intrapatient heterogeneity becomes accessible for compound screening. Moreover, we provide a reason for future reinvestigation of known compound libraries, as previous screening approaches on “standard glioblastoma models” (under standard conditions) could have overlooked promising lead compounds.

Secondly, the identification of niclosamide’s anticancer effects might provide promising cues for future developments in the field of personalized glioblastoma therapy. Its overall efficient inhibitory effects combined with a lack of differential activity against the different molecular subtypes.
of glioblastoma cells can be considered a strength of niclosamide. This feature could be ideally suited to counteract inter- and intrapatient heterogeneity characteristically observed in this type of cancer (43)—at concentrations that affect human nonmalignant neural (control) cells only slightly. This broad effect could be a result of niclosamide’s pleiotropic activity, similarly affecting signaling pathways that are known to be overly active in human malignant cells (i.e., mTOR, NOTCH, WNT/CTNNB1; refs. 44–46). Our preexposure paradigm furthermore suggested a thorough effect on the malignant potential of pGBMs in vivo. However, challenges for immediate clinical translation of our findings are, for example, the hitherto unknown optimum application route and the defining of appropriate dosage schedules for the compound. Niclosamide is approved as anthelminthic by many regulatory agencies, but it has not yet been considered for brain tumor therapy. It is a salicylanilide that was introduced as a molluscicide in 1959. Studies in animals suggested no mutagenic, oncogenic, or embryotoxic activity and no cumulative effects; however, its rate of absorption from the intestinal tract was estimated at only 33% (for review see ref. 47). Our pilot experiment on established xenograft-derived intracerebral tumors could only preliminarily address this issue. For example, for choice of application route and dosage, we have relied on previously reported methods used in an animal model on the metastatic progression in colon cancer (21). Future experiments are required to address these open questions.

A third aspect of our work relates to the potential mechanism of synergy between temozolomide and niclosamide as a "natural inducer" of NFKBIA. The resulting inhibition of NF-kB activity could be used to overcome resistance to temozolomide (38), at least in NFKBIA+/− glioblastoma genotypes. It would moreover be reasonable to assume that other cancer entities presenting with specific SNPs and haplotypes of the NFKBIA locus, for example, Hodgkin’s lymphoma, colorectal cancer, melanoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, breast cancer, and multiple myeloma (see ref. 25) might profit from combinatorial therapeutic regimens in the settings of personalized medicine. Even though the status of the NFKBIA locus as a predictor for synergy needs further validation—both, in preclinical and in clinical settings, our data provide a rationale for future targeted screening attempts to identify alternative combinatorial compounds that take advantage of this mechanism.

In perspective, data from our study encourage the use of short-term expanded, patient-specific cancer cells as a discovery platform for new or previously neglected lead compounds. Appropriate portfolios of primary cells might portray intra- and interpatient heterogeneity to an unanticipated degree, perhaps adding benefit to current efforts on the establishment of alternative predictive models for anti-cancer drug sensitivity (48).
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