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Statins, prescribed widely for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD), have been recommended for expanded use in apparently healthy individuals at risk for CVD. On February 8, 2010 the US Food and Drug Administration approved rosuvastatin (Crestor) for reducing the likelihood of a heart attack or stroke for individuals with normal lipid levels exhibiting pleiotropic properties unrelated to lipid reduction. These properties include stimulation of new blood vessel and bone formation and the reduction of inflammation and oxidative stress.

Computed studies concluded that a “treat-all” approach to CVD prevention is cost-effective. However, misgivings over widespread statin use have been expressed on the basis of overall societal impact, including cost and toxicity, especially with the extension of treatment to children. The wholesale cost and toxicity, especially with the extension of treatment to children, are of concern.8-10 The wholesale cost of a 40-milligram rosuvastatin tablet at a local pharmacy recently was $4.22. Side effects of statins involve primarily liver and muscle damage. Statins also have been associated with risk of diabetes, nonmelanotic skin cancer, and adverse drug interactions. Although statins are of proven efficacy, CVD remains a major public health problem beckoning further innovative approaches to prevention and treatment.

The clinical benefits of statins relate to their ability to reduce cholesterol levels by inhibiting the rate-limiting cholesterol biosynthetic enzyme 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase. However, drugs other than statins that effectively lower lipids have not improved clinical outcomes. Statins are effective in individuals with normal lipid levels exhibiting pleiotropic properties unrelated to lipid reduction. These properties include stimulation of new blood vessel and bone formation and the reduction of inflammation and oxidative stress.

Mascitelli and Goldstein provided evidence that the beneficial effects of statins may result from their ability to favorably alter iron homeostasis. Pathologic cellular iron retention has been implicated in systemic oxidative stress, vascular inflammation, and atherogenesis. Statins reduce ferritin levels in patients with advanced CVD, renal disease, and diabetes. Data from a randomized trial of iron (ferritin) reduction (the Iron and Atherosclerosis Study [FeAST]) in participants with advanced peripheral arterial disease showed significant improvement in all-cause mortality and combined death plus nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke with iron reduction. There is evidence suggesting that iron reduction may provide an alternative to statins for reducing inflammation associated with atherosclerosis.

METHODS

The data we collected prospectively from FeAST allowed us to compare the effects of HDL/low-density lipoprotein (LDL) ratios versus those of ferritin levels (both randomization variables) on clinical outcomes. We searched FeAST data to test our hypothesis that clinical benefits of statins might be correlated with effects on iron homeostasis rather than cholesterol levels.

The Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program supported FeAST, a prospective, randomized, controlled, single-blind clinical trial of iron reduction by graded phlebotomy. We tested the hypothesis that improved clinical outcomes might be achieved by reducing iron stores, represented by the serum ferritin, to levels typical of children and premenopausal women (about 25–50 ng/mL). The consolidated standards of reporting trials diagram, study design, informed consent procedures, and other methodological details have been described in detail elsewhere. The majority of the 1277 participants (average age = 67 years) were male, and all participants were patients with PAD who were cancer-free on entry. We used the entry ferritin level to calculate the amount of blood to be removed to target a trough ferritin level of about 25 nanograms per milliliter in participants randomized to iron reduction. We measured ferritin levels and HDL/LDL ratios (both were randomization variables) on clinical outcomes in participants receiving and not receiving statins.

Objectives. We postulated the existence of a statin–iron nexus by which statins improve cardiovascular disease outcomes at least partially by countering proinflammatory effects of excess iron stores.

Methods. Using data from a clinical trial of iron (ferritin) reduction in advanced peripheral arterial disease, the Iron and Atherosclerosis Study, we compared effects of ferritin levels versus high-density lipoprotein to low-density lipoprotein ratios (both were randomization variables) on clinical outcomes in participants receiving and not receiving statins.

Results. Statins increased high-density lipoprotein to low-density lipoprotein ratios and reduced ferritin levels by noninteracting mechanisms. Improved clinical outcomes were associated with lower ferritin levels but not with improved lipid status.

Conclusions. There are commonalities between the clinical benefits of statins and the maintenance of physiologic iron levels. Iron reduction may be a safe and low-cost alternative to statins. (Am J Public Health. Published online ahead of print February 14, 2013: e1–e8. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2012.301163)
variables) in all participants at 6-month follow-up visits. We used 6-month ferritin levels to calculate the amount of blood to be removed to maintain the targeted reduced iron status in patients randomized to iron reduction.

We subjected the effects of variables of interest on the primary end point, all-cause mortality, to intent-to-treat analysis. When FeAST began, statins were increasingly becoming the standard of care treatment of all patients with PAD. Although not a randomized variable, we tracked statin use prospectively over the 6-year study period. These study design features provided a unique opportunity to explore interactions between statin use, HDL/LDL ratios, and ferritin levels during a 6-year period.

We designed FeAST to have an 85% power to detect a 30% decrease in the primary outcome with iron reduction. We used time-to-event curves to describe the timing of the primary end point during follow-up. Because mean follow-up ferritin levels were not normally distributed, we used the median of mean follow-up ferritin level values. We calculated the mean along with the SD for continuous variables. We used the unadjusted Cox proportional hazards regression model to compute hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). To describe the effect of mean follow-up HDL/LDL ratios (or mean follow-up ferritin levels) on the primary end point, we plotted the log-relative hazards from the Cox proportional hazards model. We compared other types of categorical and continuous variables using the chi-squared test or t test, respectively. We used univariate linear regression analyses to test the relationship between continuous variables. We considered differences of $P < .05$ statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Statins were used at entry by 59.0% of participants. Mean ferritin levels at entry were significantly lower in patients taking statins (117.53 ng/mL; SD = 80.07) compared with patients not taking statins (128.65 ng/mL; SD = 80.07) (P = .037). Statin use at entry was significantly more frequent in participants randomized to control, 62.6%, than in participants randomized to iron reduction (56.0%) (P = .01). The mean HDL/LDL ratio at entry in patients not receiving statins was 0.41 (SD = 0.28), whereas the mean HDL/LDL ratio in patients receiving statins was, as expected, significantly higher at 0.46 (SD = 0.20; P < .001).

Linear regression analysis showed no relationship between ferritin levels and HDL/LDL ratio at entry for the total cohort (P = .83), for statin users (P = .69), or for participants not receiving statins (P = .75). Statin use tracked at 6-month follow-up visits increased over time and again occurred more frequently in control participants, 84.2%, than in iron reduction participants, 79.4% (P = .031). Statin use was recorded at 72.7% of visits of control participants and 66.5% of visits of iron reduction participants (P = .011). Increasing statin prescriptions over time for all PAD patients likely explains the observation that the mean follow-up HDL/LDL ratios for control (0.45; SD = 0.20) and iron reduction (0.46; SD = 0.18) participants approached the ratios observed in statin users (0.46; SD = 0.20) at entry.

Iron reduction by phlebotomy significantly lowered mean follow-up ferritin level (P < .001). As found for entry values, linear regression analysis showed no relationship between mean follow-up HDL/LDL ratios and mean follow-up ferritin levels either for all participants combined (P = .89; Figure 1) or for participants randomized to iron reduction (P = .58). Using linear regression analysis, we noted a significant association between mean follow-up ferritin level and the log-relative hazard for all-cause mortality as described previously for the entire study cohort (P = .037) as well as for participants randomized to iron reduction (P = .028).

By contrast, from the Cox proportional hazard regression model, we did not find a relationship between the mean follow-up HDL/LDL ratios versus the log-relative hazard for all-cause mortality for the entire cohort (HR = 1.01; 95% CI = 0.89, 1.16; P = .84; Figure 2). Previous Kaplan–Meier analysis of mortality for the entire study cohort comparing patients having mean follow-up ferritin level above versus below the median of the means also showed significantly improved survival for participants with lower ferritin levels (P = .003). Kaplan–Meier analysis of mean follow-up HDL/LDL ratios comparing patients having ratios above versus below the median of the means showed no effect of increasing mean follow-up HDL/LDL ratio on mortality (Figure 3).
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**FIGURE 1**—Linear regression analysis for the overall cohort (n = 1277): the Iron and Atherosclerosis Study (FeAST), Multiple US Veterans Administration Centers, 1999–2005.
DISCUSSION

We found that improved cholesterol fractions and reduced ferritin levels with statin treatment appear to occur by noninteracting mechanisms (Figure 1). Reduced ferritin levels related significantly to improved outcomes in FeAST,\(^42\) whereas improved HDL/LDL ratios had no effect on outcomes (Figures 2 and 3). To some extent, statin use during this trial may have contributed to outcomes; however, control participants would likely have benefitted more because they received statins significantly more frequently than did iron reduction participants. Improved outcomes with iron reduction regardless of statin use\(^42\) suggest that iron reduction rather than altered lipid status was the more powerful—or possibly the sole—contributor to improved outcomes in FeAST. It also seems likely that statin benefits relate, at least in part, to reduction of iron-catalyzed oxidative stress and inflammation rather than to improved lipid status.\(^25-53\)

We compared effects on clinical outcomes of follow-up levels of cholesterol fractions versus ferritin levels\(^42,43\) and found that reduction of iron stores improved outcomes whereas improvement of cholesterol levels over time did not. Favorable effects of statins on iron homeostasis suggested the existence of a relationship, a statin–iron nexus, possibly accounting for statin benefits in the absence of hyperlipidemia.\(^36\) The existence of this relationship appears to be supported by basic, pathophysiologic, and epidemiological observations as well as by clinical trial data.

Statins, Iron Homeostasis, and Inflammation

Statins may improve CVD outcomes by correcting abnormal cellular iron homeostasis through the induction of heme oxygenase (HO)-1 and inhibition of hepcidin expression.\(^36\) The rate-controlling enzyme of heme catabolism, HO-1, counteracts oxidative endothelial damage leading to the inception and progression of atherosclerosis.\(^54-56\) HO-1–mediated heme degradation is key to mobilization and extrusion of macrophage iron. HO-1 inhibition results in cellular loading of redox-active iron.\(^56\) An extensive literature shows that the anti-inflammation properties of statins result from their ability to induce HO-1 expression.\(^47-53\) Statins may be effective in CVD in part because they increase HO-1 expression\(^47-53\) to protect arteries from further oxidative damage by mobilizing and removing plaque iron.\(^57\)

Hepcidin, the key hormonal regulator of iron distribution, binds to the cell membrane iron export protein, ferroportin, causing internalization and degradation of the hepcidin–ferroportin complex, resulting in macrophage iron retention.\(^58\) Reduced hepcidin levels enhance iron export, thus reducing macrophage iron.\(^58\) Foam cell formation and subsequent atherosclerosis require retention of macrophage iron and cholesterol.\(^59-62\) Patients at risk for
vascular disease express elevated hepcidin levels with increased macrophage iron; both hepcidin and macrophage iron levels are associated with the presence of carotid plaques.53 Pharmacologic inhibition of hepcidin experimentally also enhances efflux of macrophage cholesterol and iron to inhibit foam cell formation and atherosclerosis.64 Hepcidin overexpression promotes plaque destabilization and increased inflammatory cytokine release, intracellular lipid and iron accumulation, oxidative stress, and macrophage apoptosis in an experimental model of accelerated atherosclerosis.65 Adverse effects of hepcidin can be negated by blocking hepcidin expression as well as by iron chelation.65 Statins reduced hepcidin levels,66 whereas iron administration increased hepcidin levels.67 Numerous studies have shown that iron in physiologic excess promotes oxidative stress,30–35 which is inhibited by statins.24–28 Statins exert antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting the generation of reactive oxygen species.4,25–28,68,69 Iron excess catalyzes reactive oxygen species production30–32 and is both pro-oxidant and proinflammatory.30–32,60,61,70–74 Excess iron is implicated in the pleiotropic effects of statins, including regulation of angiogenesis,75 microvascular function,76 bone formation and dissolution,77 lipid oxidation,78 and C-reactive protein levels.37–39 Statins inhibit experimental atherosclerosis,79 whereas iron administration increases59 and iron chelation inhibits80 experimental atherosclerosis. Macrophage cholesterol uptake and retention are cardinal features of atherogenesis81 whereas enhanced cholesterol efflux reverses atherosclerosis.82 Statins enhance macrophage cholesterol efflux83–86 and inhibit foam cell formation.87 By contrast, iron excess drives macrophage scavenger receptor expression,88 cholesterol accumulation,89 and foam cell formation.70,80

Commonality of Effects of Statins and Low Iron Status

An extensive literature further suggests commonalities between statin effects and lower iron status. The data sources we reviewed included MEDLINE, Scopus, and the Cochrane Library. We searched these sources for prior reports of statin–iron relationships and possible mechanisms underlying ferritin reduction in participants receiving statins, using the following terms: statin—cardiovascular mortality mesh, iron metabolism—HO mesh, hepcidin, macrophage iron, foam cell atherosclerosis ferroportin, and ferritin. We evaluated design methodology, criteria for defining data quality and report selection, and corresponding terminology using prior guidelines.87,88

Level A data from prospective randomized trials of statins12 and iron reduction42 on CVD outcomes exist, but we did not find any level A data on the comparative benefits of statins versus iron reduction on clinical outcomes. Level B evidence surfaced that may be classified as “patient-oriented evidence that matters”; effects on morbidity, mortality, or quality of life; or “disease-oriented evidence.” Disease-oriented evidence consisted of reports of changes in measures of response or other parameters observed in well-designed experimental, clinical, and epidemiological studies.87,88 We excluded descriptive, observational, and epidemiological studies lacking appropriate interventions, measurements, or comparator populations. The results of this search, summarized in Table 1, show strong congruity of benefits of statins and lower iron status.

The Epidemiological Data

Middle-aged and older (i.e., aged 30–69 years) men and postmenopausal women that the Food and Drug Administration identified for statin benefit3 coincide with the age at which serum ferritin levels become maximal44,125 and the age at which men benefitted most from iron reduction.42 Plots of ferritin levels by age and gender derived from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III data show that, following the adolescent growth spurt, mean ferritin levels in males rise and plateau at about 140 to 150 nanograms per milliliter between the ages of 30 and 70 years.44,125 Ferritin levels in older age decline, averaging about 80 nanograms per milliliter by age 90 years, a phenomenon consistent with a possible survival benefit of lower iron stores. Ferritin levels in premenopausal women, in whom disease risk is minimal,126,127 remain in the childhood range, between about 25 and 50 nanograms per milliliter.44,125 Ferritin levels in women then rise between the ages of 40 and 60 years to plateau at about 90 to 100 nanograms per milliliter.44,125 Ferritin levels typical of frequent blood donors are also associated with a reduced risk of cardiac events and overall superior health.128,129

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Iron Effects</th>
<th>Statin Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global improvement of cardiovascular outcomes</td>
<td>42, 89, 90</td>
<td>1, 2, 91-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorable carotid artery disease status</td>
<td>94-96</td>
<td>91, 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved insulin resistance</td>
<td>97-99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced procoagulant activity</td>
<td>101-104</td>
<td>105-107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved vasomotor function</td>
<td>76, 80, 108</td>
<td>109-111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelioration of postischemic reperfusion injury</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved myocardial perfusion</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved outcome following coronary artery procedures</td>
<td>112, 114, 116, 117</td>
<td>115, 118, 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelioration of cardiac arrhythmias</td>
<td>120, 121</td>
<td>122, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved myocardial function in cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels</td>
<td>37-39, 89</td>
<td>28, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower ferritin levels</td>
<td>42, 43, 89, 90</td>
<td>37-41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lower ferritin levels in premenopausal women and elderly men coincide with evidence that those questioning statin efficacy in these individuals have provided.130

The FeAST Data

FeAST trial findings described previously support an association between lower ferritin levels and greater longevity.42 Regression plots of follow-up ferritin levels versus study outcomes in control and iron reduction participants combined showed significant protective effects of lower iron burden against death and nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke.42 Favorable effects were more pronounced with iron reduction.42 The Food and Drug Administration3 recommends statin treatment for individuals with additional risk factors for CVD. Several clinical CVD risk factors interact with elevated iron stores. These include excessive alcohol use,131 diabetes,132 hypertension,133 high body mass index,134 and high blood lipid levels.135 Effects of such variables on CVD risk may have been ameliorated by iron reduction.

Implications of the Statin–Iron Nexus

The proposal of the existence of a statin–iron nexus results from evidence that statins alter iron homeostasis47-56 and that both statins and that both statins and iron reduction have provided.18 Several risk factors for CVD. Several clinical CVD risk factors interact with elevated iron stores. These include excessive alcohol use,131 diabetes,132 hypertension,133 high body mass index,134 and high blood lipid levels.135 Effects of such variables on CVD risk may have been ameliorated by iron reduction.

Lower ferritin levels were associated with significantly better outcomes in FeAST,42 whereas higher, presumably better, HDL/LDL ratios were not (Figures 2 and 3). Lower ferritin levels were associated with significantly better outcomes in FeAST,42 whereas higher, presumably better, HDL/LDL ratios were not (Figures 2 and 3).

Strengths of the FeAST study include its prospective randomized controlled single-blind design, 6-year duration, intent-to-treat method of data analysis, and virtually complete follow-up.42,43 Both the ferritin level and HDL/LDL ratio at entry were randomization variables. Comparison of effects of ferritin reduction and improvement in HDL/LDL ratios was from the same data set. Should the effectiveness of iron reduction be confirmed, concerns over statin treatment benefits versus risks31-47 might be obviated.136,137

Toward Anti-Inflammatory Treatment of Arterial Disease

Body iron (ferritin) levels, relatively low during childhood and the premenopausal years, rise with aging as dietary intake exceeds loss.44,41,42,43 Humans have no physiologic mechanism for sensing and excreting excess iron.30-32 Increased body iron stores occurring with unnecessary ingestion of iron supplements138,139 may be associated with increased mortality.90,140,141 Elevated iron stores can be prevented by dietary means89,138,139 or corrected by blood removal21-34,42,78,126,144 or iron chelation.80,108,112 Because iron homeostasis is regulated primarily at the level of dietary intake,89,138,139 benefits of reduced iron burden could be achievable by diet as occurs in the living Mediterranean population,90,143 which exhibits low levels of ferritin, LDL cholesterol, and markers of lipid oxidation and reduced cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

Efforts to implement a Mediterranean-style diet in the United States145 may be less than fully successful because of population-wide exposure to unphysiologic iron supplements ingested involuntarily.138,141,146 Confirmation of the efficacy of iron reduction might point the way to management of CVD using appropriate dietary measures. An analysis of public policies that affect chronic nutritional iron overdosing is beyond the scope of our study; however, the Food and Drug Administration classification of iron supplements as “generally regarded as safe” may, in the absence of iron deficiency, be open to question.147

The finding of a statin–iron nexus suggests the possibility of a low-cost and safe alternative to “treat-all” statin therapy.3-7 Past confusion from negative epidemiological studies lacking appropriate comparator populations148 could be resolved by prospective trials of iron reduction in at-risk populations. Favorable outcomes might be attained without a need for universal drug treatment to achieve a low risk range for ferritin levels approximating 75 nanograms per milliliter or lower.42,89,90
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